50 Top Facts About Hillary Clinton's Economic And Political Corruption

Fact 1: Clinton Trade Deals “Are Disastrous”

Trump: "This includes fixing all of our many disastrous trade deals — and they are disastrous, they’re destroying our country, because it’s not just the political system that’s rigged, it’s the whole economy.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

“More Than 5 Million U.S. Manufacturing Jobs Were Lost Between 1997 And 2014,” Primarily Due To “Growing Trade Deficits With Countries That Have Negotiated Trade And Investment Deals With The United States.” This Is A Loss Of One-Third Of U.S. Manufacturing During The NAFTA-China Era. “More than 5 million U.S. manufacturing jobs were lost between 1997 and 2014, and most of those job losses were due to growing trade deficits with countries that have negotiated trade and investment deals with the United States.” (Robert E. Scott, “Fast Track To Lost Jobs And Lower Wages,” Economic Policy Institute’s Working Economics Blog, 4/13/15)


After Normalizing Trade Relations With China, The Economic Policy Institute Estimated That “Growth In The U.S. Goods Trade Deficit With China Between 2001 And 2013 Eliminated Or Displaced 3.2 Million U.S. Jobs.” “Growth in the U.S. goods trade deficit with China between 2001 and 2013 eliminated or displaced 3.2 million U.S. jobs, 2.4 million (three-fourths) of which were in manufacturing. These lost manufacturing jobs account for about two-thirds of all U.S. manufacturing jobs lost or displaced between December, 2001 and December 2013.” (Will Kimball and Robert E. Scott, “China Trade, Outsourcing And Jobs,” Economic Policy Institute, 12/11/14)

The Economic Policy Institute Estimates That The U.S. Trade Deficit With The 11 TPP Nations Led To The Elimination Of More Than 2 Million U.S. Jobs In 2015. “The U.S. trade deficit with the 11 other TPP countries eliminated 2 million jobs, as shown in Table 2, which reports the number of direct, indirect, and respending jobs lost (aggregated over all industries). The trade deficit between the United States and the 11 other TPP member countries in 2015 directly eliminated 418,900 jobs. In addition to the direct jobs lost, the U.S. trade deficit with the TPP country group eliminated an additional 847,200 indirect jobs in supplier industries, including jobs in manufacturing, commodity, and service industries. Finally, wages lost because of direct and indirect job cuts from the trade deficits with the TPP member countries would have supported an additional 759,700 respending jobs. The direct, indirect, and respending jobs displaced by the U.S. trade deficit with TPP member countries totals 2,025,800 jobs lost.” (Robert E. Scott and Elizabeth Glass, “Trans-Pacific Partnership, Currency Manipulation, Trade, And Jobs,” Economic Policy Institute, 3/3/16)

Fact 2: Clinton Lied About Her Landing In Bosnia

Trump: “Her phony landing in Bosnia, where she said she was under attack, and the attack turned out to be young girls handing her flowers, a total and — look, this was — this one of the beuats, a total and self-serving lie. Brian Williams’ career was destroyed for saying less, remember that.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)
On March 25, 1996, Hillary Clinton As First Lady Landed In Bosnia To Meet With U.S. Soldiers And Local Leaders. "First lady Hillary Rodham Clinton launched her latest venture onto the international stage Sunday with a visit to offer support for families of U.S. troops in Bosnia-Herzegovina. Today, she travels to Bosnia, where she will meet U.S. soldiers and religious and community leaders in Tuzla and two nearby outposts." (Bill Nichols, "First Lady On Mission Of Support In Bosnia Today To Address Troops," USA Today, 3/25/96)

When Recounting The Trip As A Presidential Candidate In 2008, Clinton Said "I Remember Landing Under Sniper Fire. There Was Supposed To Be Some Kind Of Greeting Ceremony At The Airport, But Instead We Just Ran With Our Heads Down." CLINTON: "I remember landing under sniper fire. There was supposed to be some kind of a greeting ceremony at the airport, but instead we just ran with our heads down to get into the vehicles to get to our base. But it was a moment of great pride for me to visit our troops, not only in our main base as Tuzla, but also at two outposts where they were serving in so many capacities to deactivate and remove landmines, to hunt and seek out those who had not complied with the Dayton Accords and put down their arms, and to build relationships with the people that might lead to a peace for them and their children." (Sen. Hillary Clinton, Remarks At A Campaign Event, Washington, D.C., 3/17/08)

PolitiFact: "But That's Not What Happened, As Demonstrated By CBS News Video That Shows Clinton Arriving On The Tarmac Under No Visible Duress, And Greeting A Child Who Offers Her A Copy Of A Poem." "During an introduction to a foreign policy speech on Iraq on March 17, 2008, Sen. Hillary Clinton reminisced about her days as first lady and a trip to Tuzla, Bosnia, she made in March 1996. 'I remember landing under sniper fire. There was supposed to be some kind of a greeting ceremony at the airport, but instead we just ran with our heads down to get into the vehicles to get to our base.' But that's not what happened, as demonstrated by CBS News video that shows Clinton arriving on the tarmac under no visible duress, and greeting a child who offers her a copy of a poem." (Angie Drobnic Holan, "Video Shows Tarmac Welcome, No Snipers," PolitiFact, 3/25/08)

Fact 3: Clinton Performed Favors for Donors As Secretary Of State

Trump: “Hillary Clinton has perfected the politics of personal profit and even theft. She ran the State Department like her own personal hedge fund, doing favors for oppressive regimes, and many others and really many, many others in exchange for cash.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

Harper's Ken Silverstein: The Clinton's Political Allies Won The Focused Attention Of Secretary Clinton At The State Department. “...it is beyond dispute that these very same donors and the Clintons’ political allies have won the focused attention of presidential candidate Hillary Clinton when she served as Secretary of State.” (Ken Silverstein, "Shaky Foundations," Harper's Magazine, 11/18/15)

“One Of The Reasons That The Clinton Foundation Has Become Such A Formidable Fund-Raising Machine Is That Donors Appear To Hope To Gain Access To The Corridors Of Political Power With Their Gifts.” (“Why The Clinton Foundation Is So Controversial,” The Economist, 2/7/16)

- The Problem With The Clinton Foundation Is That Appears Vulnerable To Conflicts Of Interest. “The problem is that a foundation, which is led by an ex-president and someone who hopes to be elected president by the end of the year, can appear vulnerable to conflicts of interest.” (“Why The Clinton Foundation Is So Controversial,” The Economist, 2/7/16)

Example Of Clinton Favoritism To Friends

Raj Fernando Was Appointed To The State Department’s International Security Advisory Board By Clinton’s Chief Of Staff Cheryl Mills At Her “Insistence.” “The newly released emails reveal that after ABC News started asking questions in August 2011, a State Department official who worked with the advisory board couldn’t immediately come up with a justification for Fernando serving on the panel. His and other emails make repeated references to ‘S”; ABC News has been told this is a common way to refer to the Secretary of State. ‘The true answer is simply that S staff (Cheryl Mills) added him,’ wrote Wade
Boese, who was Chief of Staff for the Office of the Under Secretary of State for Arms Control and International Security, in an email to Mannina, the press aide, "Raj was not on the list sent to S; he was added at their insistence."  
(Matthew Mosk, Brian Ross and Cho Park, "How Clinton Donor Got On Sensitive Intelligence Board,"  ABC News, 6/10/16)

"Hillary Clinton’s State Department Aides Rushed A Top Secret Security Clearance For A Democratic Donor In Order To Place Him In A Government Position For Which He Was Not Qualified."  
(Sarah Westwood, "Clinton Aides Rushed Top Secret Clearance For Foundation Donor,"  The Washington Examiner, 6/16/16)

Fernando Has Contributed Between $1,000,001 And $5,000,000 To The Clinton Foundation.  
(The Clinton Foundation, Accessed 6/10/16)

The Sultan Of Oman

Clinton Said “I’m Very Proud Of The Iran Nuclear Agreement. I Was Very Pleased To Be Part Of What The President Put Into Action When He Took Office.”  
CLINTON: “Well, I’m very proud of the Iran Nuclear Agreement. I was very pleased to be part of what the president put into action when he took office. I was responsible for getting those sanctions imposed which put the pressure on Iran. It brought them to the negotiating table which resulted in this agreement”  
(Hillary Clinton, Remarks At Democrat Primary Debate, Charleston, SC, 1/17/16)

- Clinton: “I Was Responsible For Getting Those Sanctions Imposed Which Put The Pressure On Iran. It Brought Them To The Negotiating Table Which Resulted In This Agreement.”  
CLINTON: “Well, I’m very proud of the Iran Nuclear Agreement. I was very pleased to be part of what the president put into action when he took office. I was responsible for getting those sanctions imposed which put the pressure on Iran. It brought them to the negotiating table which resulted in this agreement.”  
(Hillary Clinton, Remarks At Democrat Primary Debate, Charleston, SC, 1/17/16)

In 2012, Under Clinton’s State Department The US Began Secret U.S.-Iran Negotiations In Oman.  
“Oman, a trusted U.S. ally in a strategic location at the toe of the Arabian Peninsula, prides itself as a stable presence and mediator in a region beset by conflict. The country of 4 million people has a ‘good neighbor’ policy with Iran and close relations with the West. Its ruler, Sultan Qaboos, orchestrated secret U.S.-Iran contacts that began in Muscat in 2012, leading to the first formal talks between the United States and Iran since the 1979 Islamic Revolution and helping to pave the way for July’s nuclear deal, a legacy-defining foreign-policy achievement for President Barack Obama.”  
(Jason Szep, Matt Spetalnick and Yara Bayoumy, "In Favoring Middle East Ally, U.S. Glossed Over Human Rights Record,"  Reuters, 12/21/15)

“The principal human rights problems were the inability of citizens to change their government, limits on freedom of speech and assembly, and discrimination against women, including political and economic exclusion based on cultural norms. Thirty-two individuals were convicted on charges of libel against the sultan during the year, receiving prison sentences from six to 18 months and fines of 500 to 1,000 Omani rials (approximately $1,300 to $2,600). Another 12 individuals were convicted on charges of illegal assembly (assembly without a permit) while peacefully protesting some of the libel convictions. The protesters each received a prison sentence of one year and a 1,000 rial fine (approximately $2,600).”  

Oman Also Experienced Restriction Of The Freedom Of Press, Forced Labor And Abuse Of Foreign Citizen Workers.  
“Other ongoing concerns included lack of independent inspections of prisons and detention centers, restrictions on press freedom, instances of domestic violence, and instances of foreign citizen laborers placed in conditions of forced labor or abuse.”  
After Their Help Brokering Clinton's Iran Deal, Oman Was Spared From The State Department’s Worst Human Rights Records Classifications. “As the United States negotiated this year’s nuclear pact with Iran, the State Department quietly agreed to spare the Gulf sultanate of Oman from an embarrassing public rebuke over its human rights record, rewarding a close Arab ally that helped broker the historic deal.” (Jason Szep, Matt Spetalnick and Yara Bayoumy, “In Favoring Middle East Ally, U.S. Glossed Over Human Rights Record,” Reuters, 12/21/15)

- In 2015 Oman Was “Downgraded” On A State Department List Of Countries With Poor Human Trafficking Records So It Could Avoid Incurring U.S. Sanctions. “In April, diplomats in the State Department’s Near Eastern Affairs bureau and experts in the Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons agreed that Oman would be downgraded from ‘Tier 2’ to a status known as ‘Tier 2 Watch List’, one notch above a level that can incur U.S. sanctions, according to an internal department memo seen by Reuters.” (Jason Szep, Matt Spetalnick and Yara Bayoumy, “In Favoring Middle East Ally, U.S. Glossed Over Human Rights Record,” Reuters, 12/21/15)

Indian Politician Amar Singh

Singh Is The Former Leader Of The Samajwadi Party In India. “Former Samajwadi Party leader Amar Singh told the Delhi Police on Wednesday that Sunanda Pushkar had spoken to him about taking the blame for the IPL controversy over the Kochi franchise.” (“Amar Singh Questioned In Sunanda Case,” The Hindu, 1/29/15)

As Early As 2008, Indian Politician Amar Singh Had Donated Between $1,000,001 And $5,000,000 To The Clinton Foundation. (Peter Baker and Charlie Savage, “In Clinton List, A Veil Is Lifted On Foundation,” The New York Times, 12/18/08)

Singh Visited The U.S. In September 2008 To Lobby For A Deal Allowing India To Obtain Civilian Nuclear Technology; Then-Senator Clinton Assured Him Democrats Would Not Block The Deal. “The potential for appearances of conflict was illustrated by Amar Singh, a politician in India who gave $1 million to $5 million. Mr. Singh visited the United States in September to lobby for a deal allowing India to obtain civilian nuclear technology even though it never signed the Non-Proliferation Treaty. He met with Mrs. Clinton, who he said assured him that Democrats would not block the deal. Congress approved it weeks later.” (Peter Baker and Charlie Savage, “In Clinton List, A Veil Is Lifted On Foundation,” The New York Times, 12/18/08)

- Then-Senator Hillary Clinton Voted In Favor On The Nuclear Agreement. “On December 18, President George W. Bush signed into law the Henry J. Hyde United States-India Peaceful Atomic Energy Cooperation Act giving legal effect to his July 2005 promise to Indian Prime Minister Manmohan Singh to confer de facto recognition to India as a nuclear weapons state. That status has enormous symbolic importance to India and the world. It also has the practical consequence of allowing India to import nuclear technology for peaceful power production without having first to renounce its developing nuclear arsenal (which it has consistently vowed never to do). The bill passed with broad bipartisan support, including favorable votes from Democratic heavyweights such as Senator Joseph Biden (from Delaware), Representative Tom Lantos (from California), Senator John Kerry (from Massachusetts), Senator Hillary Clinton (from New York), and Senator Christopher Dodd (from Connecticut).” (Ashton Carter, “How Washington Learned To Stop Worrying And Love India’s Bomb,” Harvard’s Belfer Center, 1/10/07)

- Clinton Voted To Approve The U.S. India Nuclear Agreement On October 1, 2008. (H.R. 7081, CQ Vote #211: Adopted 86-13: R 49-0; D 36-12, With Hillary Clinton Casting A “Yea” Vote, 10/1/08)


- It Was Also Disclosed In 2008 That The Confederation Of Indian Industry Gave $500,000 To $1 Million To The Clinton Foundation. “The list highlights ties between the Clintons and Indian interests, including Amar Singh, an Indian politician who met with Hillary Rodham Clinton in September to discuss an Indian-U.S. civil nuclear agreement. He gave $1 million to $5 million. The Confederation of Indian Industry gave $500,000 to $1 million.” (Fredreka Schouten, “Bill Clinton Discloses Foundation Donors,” USA Today, 12/18/08)
In 2011, Bill Clinton Sent Singh A Handwritten Thank You Note After The Clinton Foundation Gave A Charcoal Stove On Singh’s Behalf. “Former SP leader Amar Singh recently got a pleasant surprise when he received a thank you note from former US president Bill Clinton. Clinton wrote to him, thanking for his ‘gift’ of a charcoal stove and briquettes to a family in Haiti, which is facing a severe cholera epidemic after last year’s massive earthquake. ‘This year, in your name, the Clinton Foundation gave an efficient charcoal stove and briquettes manufactured from 100 per cent recycled waste to a family in Haiti,’ Clinton wrote to Singh in the second half of December.” (“Clinton Thanks Amar Singh,” The Indian Express, 1/7/11)

The Uranium One Deal In Kazakhstan


This Approval Process Took Place While Bill Clinton “Was Collecting Millions In Donations From People Associated With Uranium One.” “Still, the ultimate authority to approve or reject the Russian acquisition rested with the cabinet officials on the foreign investment committee, including Mrs. Clinton — whose husband was collecting millions in donations from people associated with Uranium One.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

Uranium One’s Chairman Was Canadian Ian Telfer. “The new company, which kept the Uranium One name, was controlled by UrAsia investors including Ian Telfer, a Canadian who became chairman.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

Telfer’s Family Charity Is Called The Fernwood Foundation, Which Donated Millions “During And After The Critical Time When The Foreign Investment Committee Was Reviewing His Deal With The Russians.” “But a review of tax records in Canada, where Mr. Telfer has a family charity called the Fernwood Foundation, shows that the donated millions of dollars more, during and after the critical time when the foreign investment committee was reviewing his deal with the Russians.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

- In 2009, The Fernwood Foundation Donated $1 Million, The Same Year Uranium One “Appealed To The American Embassy To Help Keep Its Mines In Kazakhstan, $250,000 In 2010, The Year The Russians Sought Majority Control; As Well As $600,000 In 2011 And $500,000 In 2012.” “His donations through the Fernwood Foundation included $1 million reported in 2009, the year his company appealed to the American Embassy to help it keep its mines in Kazakhstan; $250,000 in 2010, the year the Russians sought majority control; as well as $600,000 in 2011 and $500,000 in 2012.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

“Amid This Influx Of Uranium One Connected Money” Flowing To The Foundation, Bill Clinton Spoke In Moscow In June 2010, The Same Month Russia “Struck Its Deal For A Majority Stake In Uranium One.” “Amid this influx of Uranium One-connected money, Mr. Clinton was invited to speak in Moscow in June 2010, the same month Rosatom struck its deal for a majority stake in Uranium One.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

Bill Clinton Was Paid $500,000, One Of His Highest Speaking Fees Ever, From “A Russian Investment Bank With Ties To The Kremlin...” “The $500,000 fee — among Mr. Clinton’s highest — was paid by Renaissance Capital, a Russian investment bank with ties to the Kremlin that has invited world leaders, including Tony Blair, the former British prime minister, to speak at its investor conferences.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

- For His Appearance At The Renaissance Capital Investors Conference, Bill Clinton Received A Personal Thank-You Call From Vladimir Putin. “After the appearance, Mr. Clinton received a personal thank-you call from Vladimir Putin, then the Russian prime minister, the government
In 2005 Frank Giustra “Orchestrated His First Big Uranium Deal” In Kazakhstan With Bill Clinton By His Side. “The path to a Russian acquisition of American uranium deposits began in 2005 in Kazakhstan, where the Canadian mining financier Frank Giustra orchestrated his first big uranium deal, with Mr. Clinton at his side.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

Mines In Kazakhstan Are “Among The Most Lucrative In The World...” “Beyond mines in Kazakhstan that are among the most lucrative in the world, the sale gave the Russians control of one-fifth of all uranium production capacity in the United States.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

Several Months After The 2005 Kazakhstan Trip, Giustra Donated $31.3 Million To The Clinton Foundation. “Still, the company's story was hardly front-page news in the United States — until early 2008, in the midst of Mrs. Clinton’s failed presidential campaign, when The Times published an article revealing the 2005 trip’s link to Mr. Giustra's Kazakhstan mining deal. It also reported that several months later, Mr. Giustra had donated $31.3 million to Mr. Clinton’s foundation.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

By 2009, Uranium One’s Stock Was In “Free-Fall, Down 40 Percent.” “By June 2009, a little over a year after the star-studded evening in Toronto, Uranium One’s stock was in free-fall, down 40 percent.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

Rosatom Was Eyeing A Stake In Uranium One. “At the time, Russia was already eyeing a stake in Uranium One, Rosatom company documents show.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)


- Russia Wanted A Stake In The Uranium Mines Because Their Country “Lacks Sufficient Domestic Reserves To Meet Its Own Industry Needs.” “Rosatom officials say they were seeking to acquire mines around the world because Russia lacks sufficient domestic reserves to meet its own industry needs.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

“Uranium One Pressed The American Embassy In Kazakhstan” For “Written Confirmation That The Licenses Were Valid According To American Cables. “It was against this backdrop that the Vancouver-based Uranium One pressed the American Embassy in Kazakhstan, as well as Canadian diplomats, to take up its cause with Kazakh officials, according to the American cables. ‘We want more than a statement to the press,’ Paul Clarke, a Uranium One executive vice president, told the embassy’s energy officer on June 10, the officer reported in a cable. ‘That is simply chitchat.’ What the company needed, Mr. Clarke said, was official written confirmation that the licenses were valid.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

- The American Embassy Reports To The Secretary Of State, And The Cable Was Copied To Clinton. “The American Embassy ultimately reported to the secretary of state, Mrs. Clinton. Though the Clarke cable was copied to her, it was given wide circulation, and it is unclear if she would have read it; the Clinton campaign did not address questions about the cable.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

In 2010, when the Russians wanted to up their stake in Uranium One to 51 percent, giving them a controlling stake, it set off "alarm bells" in America. "But it was the 2010 deal, giving the Russians a controlling 51 percent stake, that set off alarm bells." (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

- This "Generous Offer To Shareholders" Came Within A Year Of The 17 Percent Stake Deal. "And within a year, the Russian government substantially upped the ante, with a generous offer to shareholders that would give it a 51 percent controlling stake." (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

- In The 2010 Deal, The Russians Offered Shareholders Like Telfer A "Special Dividend" Meaning He Stood To Profit From The Deal. "With the Russians offering a special dividend, shareholders like Mr. Telfer stood to profit." (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

Before Approval Of The 51% Russian Controlling Stake Of Uranium One, US Government Had To "Sign Off On The Deal." "But first, Uranium One had to get the American government to sign off on the deal." (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

The Power To Sign Off On These Deals Rests With "The Committee On Foreign Investment In The United States." "When a company controlled by the Chinese government sought a 51 percent stake in a tiny Nevada gold mining operation in 2009, it set off a secretive review process in Washington, where officials raised concerns primarily about the mine’s proximity to a military installation, but also about the potential for minerals at the site, including uranium, to come under Chinese control. The officials killed the deal. Such is the power of the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States." (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

Secretary Clinton Was On This Committee. "Such is the power of the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States. The committee comprises some of the most powerful members of the cabinet, including the attorney general, the secretaries of the Treasury, Defense, Homeland Security, Commerce and Energy, and the secretary of state." (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

The Uranium One Deal Was Important To National Security Because It "Concerned American Dependence On Foreign Uranium Sources." "The national security issue at stake in the Uranium One deal was not primarily about nuclear weapons proliferation; the United States and Russia had for years cooperated on that front, with Russia sending enriched fuel from decommissioned warheads to be used in American nuclear power plants in return for raw uranium. Instead, it concerned American dependence on foreign uranium sources." (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

- The United States Needs Uranium For Powering Nuclear Energy Plants, Where We Get One Fifth Of Our Power From, But We Only Produce Around 20% Of The Uranium We Need To Do So. "While the United States gets one-fifth of its electrical power from nuclear plants, it produces only around 20 percent of the uranium it needs, and most plants have only 18 to 36 months of reserves, according to Marin Katusa, author of ‘The Colder War: How the Global Energy Trade Slipped From America’s Grasp.’" (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

"The Deal Made Rosatom One Of The World’s Largest Uranium Producers And Brought Mr. Putin Closer To His Goal Of Controlling Much Of The Global Uranium Supply Chain." (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

- "The Sale Gave The Russians Control Of One-Fifth Of All Uranium Production Capacity In The United States." "Beyond mines in Kazakhstan that are among the most lucrative in the world, the sale gave the Russians control of one-fifth of all uranium production capacity in the United
The “Ultimate Authority To Approve Or Reject The Russian Acquisition Rested With Cabinet Officials On The Foreign Investment Committee Including Mrs. Clinton...” “Still, the ultimate authority to approve or reject the Russian acquisition rested with the cabinet officials on the foreign investment committee, including Mrs. Clinton — whose husband was collecting millions in donations from people associated with Uranium One.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, "Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company," The New York Times, 4/23/15)

Fact 4: The Clintons Made Millions From Speeches To Special Interests

TRUMP: “Then when she left, she made $21.6 million giving speeches to Wall Street banks and other special interests and in less than two years, secret speeches that she does not want to reveal under any circumstances to the public. I wonder why? Together, she and Bill made $153 million giving speeches to lobbyists, CEOs and foreign governments in the years since 2001. They totally own her and that will never ever change, including if she ever became president, God help us.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)


Clinton’s Financial Disclosure Reveals Her Family Has Been Lifted “Into The Ranks Of The Uber-Wealthy.” “Clinton has tripped politically in addressing her personal wealth, drawing criticism last year for indicating she and her husband were “dead broke” when his term as president concluded in 2001. Though saddled with debt due to legal fees incurred from various White House scandals, Bill Clinton’s memoirs and frenetic speaking schedule quickly lifted the couple into the ranks of the uber-wealthy.” (Rosalind Helderman and Anne Gearan, “Clintons Earn More Than $25 Million In Speaking Fees Since January 2014,” The Washington Post, 5/15/15)

- The Clintons’ “Level Of Income Would Place The Clintons In At Least The Top 0.1% Of The Population.” “The Clinton campaign released the figures Friday on the same day it filed a personal financial-disclosure statement required by the Federal Election Commission. That level of income would place the Clintons in at least the top 0.1% of the population.” (Peter Nicholas, “Clintons Received More Than $25 Million From Speeches Since January 2014,” Wall Street Journal, 5/15/15)

While Clinton Was A Senator And Secretary Of State, Her Husband Made $105 Million In Speaking Fees. “During the 11 years Hillary Clinton served as a U.S. senator and then Secretary of State, she reported that her husband made $105 million for delivering more than 540 speeches. Bill Clinton’s fees rose over time. By 2012, her last year at the state department, he earned more than $16.3 million for 72 speeches.” (Rosalind Helderman and AnneGearan, “Clintons Earn More Than $25 Million In Speaking Fees Since January 2014,” The Washington Post, 5/15/15)

Former President Bill Clinton Earned $104.9 Million For 542 Speeches Between January 2001 And January 2013. “Bill Clinton has been paid $104.9 million for 542 speeches around the world between January 2001, when he left the White House, and January 2013, when Hillary stepped down as secretary of state, according to a Washington Post review of the family’s federal financial disclosures.” (Philip Rucker, Tom Hamburger and Alexander Becker, “How The Clintons Went From ‘Dead Broke’ To Rich: Bill Earned $104.9 Million For Speeches,” The Washington Post, 6/26/14)

According To Hillary Clinton’s Personal Financial Disclosure Released In May 2015, She And Bill Clinton “Made At Least $30 Million Over The Last 16 Months.” “Hillary Rodham Clinton and her husband made at least $30 million over the last 16 months, with the bulk of their income derived from more than 100 paid speeches, an official with her presidential campaign said late on Friday. The couple’s earnings and assets were to be detailed in personal financial disclosure forms that Mrs. Clinton and other presidential candidates were required to file with federal election officials no later than Friday. The filings cover the period since January 2014.” (Maggie Haberman and Steve Eder, “Clintons Reportedly Earned $30 Million In The Last 16 Months,” The New York Times, 5/15/15)
The Clintons Earned Over $25 Million In Speaking Fees Since The Beginning Of 2014 “A Huge Infusion To Their Net Worth As She Was Preparing For A Presidential Bid.” “Hillary Rodham Clinton and former President Bill Clinton earned in excess of $25 million for delivering more than 100 speeches since the beginning of 2014, a huge infusion to their net worth as she was prepping for a presidential bid.” (Rosalind Helderman and Anne Gearan, “Clintons Earn More Than $25 Million In Speaking Fees Since January 2014,” The Washington Post, 5/15/15)

After Leaving The Obama Administration, Hillary Clinton “Commanded Huge Fees As A Paid Speaker” Until “Just Weeks Before Her Presidential Announcement.” “After she left her post, Hillary Clinton herself commanded huge fees as a paid speaker. She was paid as much as $300,000 to speak at public universities, drawing backlash at times, though she generally donated those funds to the Bill, Hillary and Chelsea Clinton Foundation. Clinton continued to deliver paid speeches until just weeks before her presidential announcement. Within the last year, she spoke to a scrap metal conference in Las Vegas, a major bank in Canada and the American Camp Association in Atlantic City.” (Rosalind Helderman and Anne Gearan, “Clintons Earn More Than $25 Million In Speaking Fees Since January 2014,” The Washington Post, 5/15/15)

Fact 5: Clinton Supported Her Husband’s “Disastrous” NAFTA Policy

Trump: Clinton Supported Her Husband’s “Disastrous” NAFTA Policy. TRUMP: “I have decided (ph) and visited cities and towns across America, all across America, and seen the devastation caused by the trade policies of Bill and Hillary Clinton, and it’s total devastation, all over New York, all over Pennsylvania, all over New England, all over the country. Hillary Clinton supported Bill Clinton’s disastrous and totally disastrous NAFTA.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

In 2003 In Her Book “Living History,” Clinton Praised NAFTA, Calling It An Example Of The Economy “Reaping The Benefits, Not The Burdens Of Globalization.” “Creating a free trade zone in North America- the largest free trade zone in the world- would expand U.S. exports, create jobs and ensure that our economy was reaping the benefits, not the burdens of globalization.” (Hillary Rodham Clinton, Living History, 2003, p. 182)

At A Union Event In 1996, Then First-Lady Clinton Told Workers That “I Think That NAFTA Is Proving Its Worth.” CLINTON: “Oh I think that, everybody is in favor of free and fair trade, and I think that NAFTA is proving its worth.” (First Lady Hillary Clinton, Remarks At An Event For The Union Of Needle Trades, Industrial, And Textile Employees [UNITE], New York, NY, 3/6/96)

The Economic Policy Institute Estimates That The U.S. Trade Deficit Caused By NAFTA Has Resulted In Nearly 700,000 Lost U.S. Jobs Through 2010. “NAFTA led to a flood of outsourcing and foreign direct investment in Mexico. U.S. imports from Mexico grew much more rapidly than exports, leading to growing trade deficits, as shown in the Figure. Jobs making cars, electronics, and apparel and other goods moved to Mexico, and job losses piled up in the United States, especially in the Midwest where those products used to be made. By 2010,trade deficits with Mexico had eliminated 682,900 good U.S. jobs, most (60.8 percent) in manufacturing.” (Robert E. Scott, “NAFTA’s Legacy,” Economic Policy Institute, 12/17/13)

Fact 6: Clinton Supported China’s Entrance Into The WTO

Trump: “Just Like She Supported China’s Entrance Into The World Trade Organization.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

In October 2000, Clinton Said She Supported Normalizing Trade Relations With China, And Would Have Voted For It Had She Been In The Senate. CLINTON: “Had I been in the Senate this year, I would have voted for normalizing trade relations with China.” (Hillary Clinton, Remarks To The Council On Foreign Relations, New York, NY, 10/17/00)

Clinton Thought Normalizing Trade Relations With China Would Allow U.S. Companies Their First Chance To Compete In China. CLINTON: “That’s a very good and hard question. And I know many people, here in Western New York in particularly and Eerie Country, are concerned about this vote, and I share the concerns that many of my supporters in organized labor have expressed to me, because I do
think we have to make sure that we improve labor rights, we improve environmental standards in our bilateral and our multilateral trade agreements. But on balance, I’ve looked at this, I’ve studied it, I think it is in the interests of America and American workers that we provide the option for China to go into the WTO. Right now, we are trading with China. We have a huge trade deficit with China. The agreement that has been negotiated between our two countries would open their markets to us in a way that they are not yet open, and in fact, for many large manufactured products, like automobiles, we would have the first chance to really get in and compete in that marketplace." (CNN’s "Late Edition With Wolf Blitzer," 8/26/00)

**Fact 7: Since Hillary-Backed Trade Agreements Adopted Nearly 1/3 Of Americas Manufacturing Jobs Have Disappeared**

**Trump:** “We’ve lost nearly one-third of our manufacturing jobs since these two Hillary-Backed agreements were signed, among the worst we’ve ever done, among the most destructive agreements we’ve ever signed.” (Donald Trump, *Speech On Hillary Clinton*, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

“More Than 5 Million U.S. Manufacturing Jobs Were Lost Between 1997 And 2014,” Primarily Due To “Growing Trade Deficits With Countries That Have Negotiated Trade And Investment Deals With The United States.” “More than 5 million U.S. manufacturing jobs were lost between 1997 and 2014, and most of those job losses were due to growing trade deficits with countries that have negotiated trade and investment deals with the United States.” (Robert E. Scott, “Fast Track To Lost Jobs And Lower Wages,” *Economic Policy Institute’s Working Economics Blog*, 4/13/15)


The U.S. Trade Deficit With Canada And Mexico Increased Ten-Fold Between 1993 And 2013, Resulting In The Loss Of 850,000 Jobs. “Between 1993 (before NAFTA took effect) and 2013, the U.S. trade deficit with Mexico and Canada increased from $17.0 billion to $177.2 billion, displacing more than 850,000 U.S. jobs. Growing trade deficits and job displacement, especially between the United States and Mexico, were the result of a surge in outsourcing of production by U.S. and other foreign investors. The rise in outsourcing was fueled, in turn, by a surge in foreign direct investment (FDI) into Mexico, which increased by more than 150 percent in the post-NAFTA period.” (Robert E. Scott, “Fast Track To Lost Jobs And Lower Wages,” *Economic Policy Institute's Working Economics Blog*, 4/13/15)

The U.S. Has Lost More Than 75,000 Jobs Due To The Rising Trade Deficit With South Korea In The Wake Of KORUS. “KORUS took effect in March 2012. Between 2011 and 2014, U.S. exports to Korea increased by about $1 billion, but imports have increased by $13 billion, so the trade deficit has increased by nearly $12 billion. This growing trade deficit with Korea has cost more than 75,000 U.S. jobs.” (Robert E. Scott, “Fast Track To Lost Jobs And Lower Wages,” *Economic Policy Institute's Working Economics Blog*, 4/13/15)

**Bill Clinton’s Claim That NAFTA Would Create A Million Jobs In Five Years And Obama’s Claim That The Korea-U.S. Free Trade Agreement Would Support 70,000 Jobs Have Proven To Be “Empty Promises.”** “Bill Clinton claimed that NAFTA would create 200,000 jobs in its first two years and a million jobs in five years. President Obama claimed that KORUS would ‘support 70,000 American jobs’ because the agreement would ‘increase exports of American goods by $10 billion to $11 billion.’ Claims that trade and investment deals would support domestic job creation have proven to be empty promises.” (Robert E. Scott, “Fast Track To Lost Jobs And Lower Wages,” *Economic Policy Institute's Working Economics Blog*, 4/13/15)
The U.S. Already Has “A Large And Growing Trade Deficit” With The 11 Members Of The Proposed Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) Trade Deal. “The United States already has a large and growing trade deficit with the 11 other countries in the proposed TPP, which reached $265.1 billion in 2014. In contrast, the United States had a small trade surplus with Mexico in 1993, before NAFTA took effect. In other words, outsourcing to the TPP countries is a potentially much greater threat than it was under NAFTA with Mexico.” (Robert E. Scott, “Fast Track To Lost Jobs And Lower Wages,” Economic Policy Institute’s Working Economics Blog, 4/13/15)

The Economic Policy Institute Has Estimated That Ill-Advised Trade Deals Cost The U.S. Economy $180 Billion Each Year And Lower The Wages Of Non-College Educated Workers By $1,800 Annually. “And second, Economic Policy Institute Research and Policy Director Josh Bivens has used standard trade models to estimate that expanded trade has changed the composition of jobs in ways that reduced the annual wages of a full-time American worker without a four-year college degree who earns the median wage by $1,800 per year. Given that there are roughly 100 million non-college-educated workers in the U.S. economy, the scale of wage losses suffered by this group likely translates into close to a full 1 percent of GDP—roughly $180 billion.” (Robert E. Scott, “Fast Track To Lost Jobs And Lower Wages,” Economic Policy Institute’s Working Economics Blog, 4/13/15)

Fact 8: The Trade Deficit With China Soared Under Clinton As Secretary Of State

Trump: “Our trade deficit with China soared 40 percent during Hillary Clinton’s time as Secretary of State — a disgraceful performance, for which she should not be congratulated, but rather scorned.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

From 2009 To 2012, Year-On-Year Trade Deficits With China Increased By $89 Billion, Or Over 39 Percent. (“Trade In Goods With China,” U.S. Census Bureau, Accessed 6/1/16)


Fact 9: China Stole Hundreds Of Billions Of Dollars In Intellectual Property During Clinton Tenure At State

Trump: “Then she left China, and what happened is billions and billions of dollars in our intellectual property — and China has taken it, and it’s a crime which is continuously going on and it’s going on right now. They are stealing billions and billions of dollars of our intellectual property.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

According To A 2013 Report By The Independent Commission On The Theft Of American Intellectual Property (IP), The Stealing Of American IP Costs The Country An Estimated $300 Billion Annually. “The annual losses are likely to be comparable to the current annual level of U.S. exports to Asia—over $300 billion. The exact figure is unknowable, but private and governmental studies tend to understate the impacts due to inadequacies in data or scope.” (“The IP Commission Report,” The Commission On The Theft Of American Intellectual Property, 5/13)

Fact 10: Clinton Gave China Millions Of Jobs And Grew Rich In Return

Trump: “Hillary Clinton gave China millions of jobs, and our best jobs, and effectively let China completely rebuild itself. In return, Hillary Clinton got rich.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

After Normalizing Trade Relations With China, The Economic Policy Institute Estimated That “Growth In The U.S. Goods Trade Deficit With China Between 2001 And 2013 Eliminated Or Displaced 3.2 Million U.S. Jobs.” “Growth in the U.S. goods trade deficit with China between 2001 and 2013 eliminated or displaced 3.2 million U.S. jobs, 2.4 million (three-fourths) of which were in manufacturing. These lost manufacturing jobs account for about two-thirds of all U.S. manufacturing jobs lost or displaced between December, 2001 and December 2013.” (Will Kimball and Robert E. Scott, “China Trade, Outsourcing And Jobs,” Economic Policy Institute, 12/11/14)
The Majority Of Bill Clinton’s Speaking Income, $56.3 Million, Came From Foreign Speeches Including In China. “Although slightly more than half of his appearances were in the United States, the majority of his speaking income, $56.3 million, came from foreign speeches, many of them in China, Japan, Canada and the United Kingdom, the Post review found.” (Philip Rucker, Tom Hamburger and Alexander Becker, “How The Clintons Went From ‘Dead Broke’ To Rich: Bill Earned $104.9 Million For Speeches,” The Washington Post, 6/26/14)

Fact 11: Clinton Used the State Department to Get Rich

Trump: “The book Clinton Cash, by Peter Schweitzer, documents how Bill and Hillary used the State Department to enrich their family at America’s expense. She gets rich making you poor.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

Peter Schweitzer’s Book, Clinton Cash, “Focuses On How Donations From Foreign Governments To The Clinton Foundation Correlated With Favorable Decisions From The State Department While Hillary Clinton Was Secretary.” “At the start of the week, The New York Times revealed that Peter Schweizer, a Republican researcher, was close to publishing a book delving into the financial dealings of the Clinton Foundation. The book focuses on how donations from foreign governments to the Clinton Foundation correlated with favorable decisions from the State Department while Hillary Clinton was secretary. What’s more, several news organizations had agreements with Schweizer to report on the findings in the book.” (David A. Graham, “A Quick Guide To The Questions About Clinton Cash,” The Atlantic, 4/23/15)


The Clintons Income Between 2001 And 2012 Was At Least $136.5 Million. “Also unclear is how the Clintons’ income factored into their reported networth. The tax returns Hillary Clinton released during her 2008 campaign show that the Clintons made $87.3 million in joint adjusted gross income between 2001 and 2006. Full tax returns for years beyond 2006 have not been made public, but a recent Washington Post report on Bill Clinton’s paid speeches shows $49.2 million in further earnings from appearances alone between 2007 and 2012. Adding those figures together shows that the Clintons’ confirmed income between 2001 and 2012 was at least $136.5 million.” (Alexander Becker, “$5 Million, $50 Million Or Even More – Just How Rich Is Hillary Clinton? Here’s Why We Don’t Know,” The Washington Post, 7/30/14)

The Majority Of Bill Clinton’s Speaking Income, $56.3 Million, Came From Foreign Speeches Some In China. “Although slightly more than half of his appearances were in the United States, the majority of his speaking income, $56.3 million, came from foreign speeches, many of them in China, Japan, Canada and the United Kingdom, the Post review found.” (Philip Rucker, Tom Hamburger and Alexander Becker, “How The Clintons Went From ‘Dead Broke’ To Rich: Bill Earned $104.9 Million For Speeches,” The Washington Post, 6/26/14)

Fact 12: The Clintons Profited From Speeches To Chinese Interests While She Was Negotiating With China

Trump: “Here is a quote from the book, ‘at the center of U.S. Policy toward China was Hillary Clinton. At this critical time for U.S.- China relations, Bill Clinton gave a number of speeches that were underwritten by the Chinese government and its supporters. These funds were paid to the Clintons’ bank account directly, while Hillary was negotiating with China on behalf of the United States.’” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

The Majority Of Bill Clinton’s Speaking Income, $56.3 Million, Came From Foreign Speeches Some In China. “Although slightly more than half of his appearances were in the United States, the majority of his speaking income, $56.3 million, came from foreign speeches, many of them in China, Japan, Canada and the United Kingdom, the Post review found.” (Philip Rucker, Tom Hamburger and Alexander Becker, “How The Clintons Went From ‘Dead Broke’ To Rich: Bill Earned $104.9 Million For Speeches,” The Washington Post, 6/26/14)

Fact 13: Clinton Supported TPP Which Will Destroy Jobs
**Trump:** “Tell me, folks, does that work? She sold out our workers and our country for Beijing. Hillary Clinton has also been the biggest promoter of the Trans-Pacific Partnership, which will ship millions more of our jobs overseas and give up congressional power to an international foreign commission.” (Donald Trump, *Speech On Hillary Clinton*, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

**As Secretary Of State, Clinton Took “A Leading Part In Drafting The Trans-Pacific Partnership.”** “She’s pressed the case for U.S. business in Cambodia, Singapore, Vietnam, Indonesia, and other countries in China’s shadow. She’s also taken a leading part in drafting the Trans-Pacific Partnership, a free trade pact that would give U.S. companies a leg up on their Chinese competitors.” (Elizabeth Dwoskin and Indira Lakshmanan, “How Hillary Clinton Created A U.S. Business-Promotion Machine,” *Bloomberg*, 1/10/13)

- **As Secretary, Clinton Had “Expressed Support” For The Trans-Pacific Partnership In “At Least 45 Public Speeches.”** “The TPP negotiations are a part of the Obama administration’s so-called pivot to Asia. Early in his presidency, Obama outlined a plan to put more energy and focus into the Asian Pacific, especially in terms of economic development. While serving as Obama’s secretary of state, Clinton expressed support for the Trans-Pacific Partnership in at least 45 public speeches, according to a roundup of quotes by CNN.” (Jake Tapper, “45 Times Secretary Clinton Pushed The Trade Bill She Now Opposes,” *CNN*, 6/15/15)

The Economic Policy Institute Estimates That The U.S. Trade Deficit With The 11 TPP Nations Led To The Elimination Of More Than 2 Million U.S. Jobs In 2015. “The U.S. trade deficit with the 11 other TPP countries eliminated 2 million jobs, as shown in Table 2, which reports the number of direct, indirect, and responding jobs lost (aggregated over all industries). The trade deficit between the United States and the 11 other TPP member countries in 2015 directly eliminated 418,900 jobs. In addition to the direct jobs lost, the U.S. trade deficit with the TPP country group eliminated an additional 847,200 indirect jobs in supplier industries, including jobs in manufacturing, commodity, and service industries. Finally, wages lost because of direct and indirect job cuts from the trade deficits with the TPP member countries would have supported an additional 759,700 responding jobs. The direct, indirect, and responding jobs displaced by the U.S. trade deficit with TPP member countries totals 2,025,800 jobs lost.” (Robert E. Scott and Elizabeth Glass, “Trans-Pacific Partnership, Currency Manipulation, Trade, And Jobs,” *Economic Policy Institute*, 3/3/16)

**Fact 14: Clinton Deleted The TPP Record From Her Book And 30,000 Emails**

Trump: “She deleted the entire record from her book and deletion is something she really does know something about because she’s deleted at least 30,000 e-mails, which by the way, should be able to be found.” (Donald Trump, *Speech On Hillary Clinton*, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

In Clinton’s Memoir *Hard Choices*, Clinton Spoke Favorably Of The Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) Trade Deal, Including How As Secretary Of State She “Worked Hard” To Convince Other Nations To Join The Trade Deal. “So we worked hard to improve and ratify trade agreements with Colombia and Panama and encouraged Canada and the group of countries that became known as the Pacific Alliance – Mexico, Colombia, Peru, and Chile – all open-market democracies driving toward a more prosperous future to join negotiations with Asian nations on TPP, the trans-Pacific trade agreement.” (Hillary Clinton, *Hard Choices*, 2014, cclxiv)

In A More Recent Paperback Version Of *Hard Choices*, Clinton’s Mention Of Her Work To Bring More Nations Into TPP Talks Has Been Deleted. “At the same time, a review of the hardback edition of her memoir as secretary of state, ‘Hard Choices,’ compared to the paperback — first noted by the Center for Economic and Policy Research (CEPR) — finds that segments of the book where Clinton describes an effort to convince American countries to join the TPP negotiations have been left out. We encouraged ‘all open-market democracies driving toward a more prosperous future to join negotiations with Asian nations on TPP, the trans-Pacific trade agreement,’ the original version of the book reads in a two-page segment discussing a 2009 conference in El Salvador. Those two pages have been cut from the paperback version of the book, according to CEPR.” (Clark Mindock, “Hillary Clinton State Department Memoir omits TPP Reference In Paperback Edition,” *The International Business Times*, 6/7/16)
CNN Headline: “Hillary Clinton Deleted All Email From Personal Server” (Chris Frates, “Hillary Clinton Deleted All Email From Personal Server,” CNN, 3/28/15)

- “Hillary Clinton Permanently Deleted All The Emails On The Private Server She Used To Do Official Business As Secretary Of State...” “Hillary Clinton permanently deleted all the emails on the private server she used to do official business as secretary of state, the Republican lawmaker who subpoenaed the emails said late Friday.” (Chris Frates, “Hillary Clinton Deleted All Email From Personal Server,” CNN, 3/28/15)

It Was Not Clear When Clinton Wiped The Server; However, “It Appears To Have Been Wiped After October 2014, When The State Department Asked Clinton To Return Her Official Emails To The Department.” “Gowdy said it wasn’t clear when Clinton erased the server, but it appears to have been wiped after October 2014, when the State Department asked Clinton to return her official emails to the department.” (Chris Frates, “Hillary Clinton Deleted All Email From Personal Server,” CNN, 3/28/15)

**Fact 15: Clinton Will Adopt TPP**

**Trump:** “This is the latest Clinton cover-up and it doesn’t change anything. If she is elected president, she will adopt the Trans-Pacific Partnership and we will lose millions of jobs and our economic independence for good.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

As Secretary Of State, Clinton Took “A Leading Part In Drafting The Trans-Pacific Partnership.” “She’s pressed the case for U.S. business in Cambodia, Singapore, Vietnam, Indonesia, and other countries in China’s shadow. She’s also taken a leading part in drafting the Trans-Pacific Partnership, a free trade pact that would give U.S. companies a leg up on their Chinese competitors.” (Elizabeth Dwoskin and Indira Laksmanan, “How Hillary Clinton Created A U.S. Business-Promotion Machine,” Bloomberg, 1/10/13)

- **As Secretary, Clinton Had “Expressed Support” For The Trans-Pacific Partnership In “At Least 45 Public Speeches.”** “The TPP negotiations are a part of the Obama administration’s so-called pivot to Asia. Early in his presidency, Obama outlined a plan to put more energy and focus into the Asian Pacific, especially in terms of economic development. While serving as Obama’s secretary of state, Clinton expressed support for the Trans-Pacific Partnership in at least 45 public speeches, according to a roundup of quotes by CNN.” (Jake Tapper, “45 Times Secretary Clinton Pushed The Trade Bill She Now Opposes,” CNN, 6/15/15)

**Fact 16: Clinton Will Betray Workers On Trade**

**Trump:** “She’ll do this, and just as she has betrayed the American worker on trade at every single stage of her career, and it will be even worse than the Clinton’s NAFTA deal, and I never thought it could get worse than that.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York NY, 6/22/16)

“Clinton Has Had Difficulty Maintaining A Consistent Position On International Trade.” “Like many Democrats, including the current president, Hillary Clinton has had difficulty maintaining a consistent position on international trade.” (Domenico Montanaro, “A Timeline Of Hillary Clinton’s Evolution On Trade,” NPR, 4/21/15)

**After Saying She Would Oppose The Korea Deal, Clinton Said In 2011 Convincing Congress To Ratify The U.S.-Korea Free Trade Agreement Was One Of Her “Top Priorities.”** CLINTON: “I am pleased to report that KORUS is currently ready for review by the United States Congress. This is a high, if not a top -- I think it is probably one of my top priorities for the next months. We are determined to get it done, and I believe we will. We will need your help. It will be important to keep making the case to both of our respective legislative bodies.” (Secretary Hillary Clinton, Remarks At The American Chamber of Commerce Breakfast, Seoul, South Korea, 4/17/11)

**Similarly, After Saying She Would Oppose The Columbia Deal Clinton Said In 2011 “We Are Absolutely Committed To Passing The U.S.-Colombia Trade Promotion Agreement.”** Foreign Backers Of The Deal Paid Bill Clinton 800,000 For Speeches. CLINTON: “And of course, we are absolutely committed to passing the U.S.-Colombia Trade Promotion Agreement to open new markets
and create jobs and opportunities for both of our peoples.” (Hillary Clinton, Remarks With Colombian Foreign Minister Maria Angela Holguin, Washington, DC, 5/31/11)

**Now She Says She Only Opposes TPP “In Its Current Form,” Yet More Proof She Plans To Fully Implement It As President. As Mr. Trump Has Said No Form Of TPP Is Acceptable.** “Clinton famously called the pending Trans-Pacific Partnership trade deal the ‘Gold Standard’ of trade deals while working on it as Secretary of State. ‘I said I would like to renegotiate NAFTA when I ran in 2008,’ she said Sunday. ‘And I currently oppose TPP in its current form.’” (Tim Hains, “Hillary Clinton Calls For New “Trade Prosecutor” Position, Opposes TPP “In Its Current Form,”” Real Clear Politics, 5/9/16)

*The Washington Post* Editorial: Clinton’s Trade Flip-Flops Are “Transparency Inconsistent” And “Insults The Electorate’s Intelligence.” “Ms. Clinton’s dash for the tall grass is transparently inconsistent with the position she embraced as Mr. Obama’s secretary of state. ‘Our hope is that a TPP agreement with high standards can serve as a benchmark for future agreements — and grow to serve as a platform for broader regional interaction and eventually a free trade area of the Asia-Pacific,’ she wrote in an October 2011 cover story for Foreign Policy magazine. Indeed, given this well-known record, her avoidance now rather insults the electorate’s intelligence.” (Editorial, “Hillary Clinton, MIA On Trade,” The Washington Post,” 5/12/15)

**Fact 17: Clinton’s Foreign Policy Has Cost Americans Thousands Of Lives, Trillions Of Dollars, and Unleashed ISIS Across The World**

**Trump:** “It’s not just our economy that’s been corrupted, but our foreign policy too. The Hillary Clinton foreign policy has cost America Thousands of lives and trillions and trillions of dollars and unleashed ISIS across the world. No Secretary of State been more wrong, more often and in more places than Hillary Clinton.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

*The Wall Street Journal*: Clinton Faces Criticism For Both Her Original Vote To Authorize The Iraq War And Her Support For Obama’s Withdrawal Policies. “The issue also is complicated for Hillary Clinton, the leading Democratic presidential candidate. She faces lingering liberal skepticism for voting for the war while in the Senate, as well as GOP criticism of Obama administration policies that left Iraq unstable after U.S. troops withdrew in 2011.” (Janet Hook and Laura Meckler, “Iraq War Persists As Awkward Election Issue,” The Wall Street Journal, 5/14/15)

Report: Operation Iraqi Freedom Cost Taxpayers $1.7 Trillion, And Is Expected To Grow To $6 Trillion Over The Next Four Decades. “The U.S. war in Iraq has cost $1.7 trillion -- expenses that could grow to more than $6 trillion over the next four decades counting interest, a study released on Thursday said.” (Daniel Trotta, “Iraq War Costs U.S. More Than $2 Trillion: Study,” Reuters, 03/14/13)

In 2011, Clinton Defended The Decision Not To Leave A Residual Force In Iraq, And Shifted Blame To The Bush Administration. NBC’S DAVID GREGORY: “But, Secretary Clinton, the question is whether you think this criticism is well-founded or not. Do we not endanger recent success in Iraq by not having any residual force? Is there not a legitimate prospect of civil war, which many people fear?” CLINTON: “Well, honestly, I think that they should have raised those issues when President Bush agreed to the agreement to withdraw troops by the end of this year. I feel like this is a debate that is looking backwards instead of forwards. Now, are the Iraqis all going to get along with each other for the foreseeable future? Well, let’s find out. We know that there will be continuing stresses and threats, as we see in many of the countries that we work. We had a support-and-training mission in Colombia over many years when they were facing tremendous threats from insurgent groups. We know that the violence is not going to automatically end. But President Obama has shown great leadership in navigating to this point, fulfilling his promise, meeting the obligations that were entered into before he ever came into office. We are providing a support-and-training mission. We will be there on the ground, working with the Iraqis. And I just want to add, David, that no one should miscalculate America’s resolve and commitment to helping support the Iraqi democracy. We have paid too high a price to give the Iraqis this chance, and I hope that Iran and no one else miscalculates that.” (NBC’s “Meet The Press,” 10/23/11)
As The United States Prepares To Withdraw Its Troops From Iraq By Year’s End, Senior American And Iraqi Officials Are Expressing Concern That Al Qaeda’s Offshoot Here ... Is Poised For A Deadly Resurgence. “As the United States prepares to withdraw its troops from Iraq by year’s end, senior American and Iraqi officials are expressing growing concern that Al Qaeda’s offshoot here, which just a few years ago waged a debilitating insurgency that plunged the country into a civil war, is poised for a deadly resurgence.” (Michael Schmidt and Eric Schmitt, “Leaving Iraq, U.S. Fears New Surge Of Qaeda Terror,” The New York Times, 11/5/11)

As Recently As June 2014, Clinton Supported Obama’s Inaction On ISIS, Flatly Stating “I Would Have Advised Him To Do Exactly As I Believe He Is Now Doing.” QUESTION: “If you were in the administration today, what would you have advised President Obama to do in Iraq?” CLINTON: “I would have advised him to do exactly as I believe he is now doing. To tell Maliki there will not be any American involvement whatsoever unless you take the following steps, and that we would begin, through our channels, to reach out to the Sunni tribal chiefs that we had worked with to turn back Al-Qaeda in Iraq. And I don’t have the kind of access to the top-secret negotiations any longer, but that’s what I believe is happening. Because when you think about how we were able to stop the spread of Al-Qaeda in Iraq, it was by partnering Shiite with Sunni with American support. I think that’s the only way you’re going to be able to get rid of this so-called ISIS group too. So, that’s what I would be looking for and I believe that’s probably what the President has put under his list of things that have to be accomplished.” (NDTV Interview with Hillary Clinton, 6/21/14)

In 2011, Clinton Echoed Obama, Demanding Regime Change In Syria Which Helped Hand Over Huge Portions Of Syria To ISIS. CLINTON: “This morning President Obama called on Assad to step aside and announced the strongest set of sanctions to date targeting the Syrian government. These sanctions include the energy sector, to increase pressure on the regime. The transition to democracy in Syria has begun, and it’s time for Assad to get out of the way. As President Obama said this morning, no outside power can or should impose on this transition. It is up to the Syrian people to choose their own leaders in a democratic system based on the rule of law and dedicated to protecting the rights of all citizens, regardless of ethnicity, religion, sect or gender.” (Secretary Hillary Clinton, Remarks At The State Department Treaty Room, Washington, DC, 8/18/11)

As She Planned For The Intervention, Clinton Failed To Resolve “Just How Libya Would Be Governed After Gaddafi.” “In 2011, as the United States considered intervention, then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton was among those who pushed for intervention — without resolving just how Libya would be governed after Gaddafi, according to a senior defense official who was part of the decision-making process.” (Nancy A. Youssef, “Hillary’s Libya Post-War Plan Was ‘Play It By Ear,’ Gates Says,” The Daily Beast, 10/25/15)

CIA Director John Brennan Told Members Of The Select Committee On Intelligence That The U.S. Has Not Reduced ISIS’ Global Reach. “Unfortunately, despite all our progress against ISIL on the battlefield and in the financial realm, our efforts have not reduced the group’s terrorism capability and global reach,” CIA Director John Brennan testified to Congress using another acronym for the group.” (CIA Director Grave Warning: ISIS Dangerous As Ever,” CNN, 06/16/16)

- The CIA Director Warned Congress That ISIS’ Global Terror Campaign Will Expand As Pressure Intensifies On Their Territory In Iraq And Syria. “As the pressure mounts on ISIL, we judge that it will intensify its global terror campaign to maintain its dominance of the global terrorism agenda.” (CIA Director Grave Warning: ISIS Dangerous As Ever,” CNN, 06/16/16)

Fact 18: Among Clinton’s Victims Was Ambassador Chris Stevens

Trump: “Her decisions spread death, destruction and terrorism everywhere she touched. Among the victims is our late Ambassador, Chris Stevens.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

On September 11, 2012, The U.S. Diplomatic Compound In Benghazi Was Attacked By Islamist Militants, Resulting In The Death Of Ambassador Christopher Stevens And Three Other Americans. “Islamist militants armed with antiaircraft weapons and rocket-propelled grenades stormed...
a lightly defended United States diplomatic mission in Benghazi, Libya, late Tuesday, killing the American ambassador and three members of his staff and raising questions about the radicalization of countries swept up in the Arab Spring. The ambassador, J. Christopher Stevens, was missing almost immediately after the start of an intense, four-hour firefight for control of the mission, and his body was not located until Wednesday morning at dawn, when he was found dead at a Benghazi hospital, American and Libyan officials said.” (David D. Kirkpatrick and Steven Lee Myers, “Libya Attack Brings Challenges For U.S.,” The New York Times, 9/12/12)

The State Department Denied Requests For More Security In Benghazi In The Months Leading Up To The September 2012 Attacks. “Ambassador Christopher Stevens and three other Americans were killed in the Sept. 11, 2012, attacks after having made requests for more security to the State Department. State has acknowledged that security was not adequate but has defended itself by saying that it never received intelligence indicating an attack of the ferocity of the terrorist assault. Numerous attackers were involved in the assault, which lasted several hours.” (Oren Dorell, “Democrats Join GOP To Blame State In Benghazi,” USA Today, 1/15/14)

During Previous Congressional Testimony, Clinton Stated That She Would “Be The First To Say” That The State Department’s Prioritization Of Funds Was “Imperfect.” SECRETARY CLINTON: “And I – I would go back to something the chairman said, because this was a point made in the ARB: Consistent shortfalls have required the department to prioritize available funding out of security accounts. And I will be the first to say that the prioritization process was at times imperfect, but as the ARB said, the funds provided were inadequate. So we need to work together to overcome that.” (Secretary Hillary Clinton, Committee On Foreign Relations, U.S. Senate, Testimony, 1/23/13)

Clinton Indicated That The State Department’s Designation Of The Benghazi Facility As Temporary Contributed To The State Department Not Allocating Additional Resources To Benghazi. SECRETARY CLINTON: “That’s why we have a huge workforce of people who are given responsibility and expected to carry forward that responsibility and I think designating it as ‘temporary’ in the ARB’s findings did cause an extra level of uncertainty to some extent.You know, as the chairman said at the very beginning quoting from the ARB, the has been an enculturation in the State Department, the husband (ph) resources to, you know, try to be as -- as careful in spending money as possible and then I think adding to that the fact that it was quote, ‘temporary’ you know, probably did lead to some of the confusion that we later saw played out in the cables, but not the -- the status of it for the Libyan government.” (Secretary Hillary Clinton, Committee On Foreign Relations, U.S. Senate, Testimony, 1/23/13)

Fact 19: Clinton Was At Home Sleeping While Attacks In Benghazi Continued

Trump: “He was left helpless to die as Hillary Clinton soundly slept in her bed. That’s right. When the phone rang, as per the commercial, at three o’clock in the morning, Hillary Clinton was sleeping.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

Clinton Went Home While The Attack In Benghazi Was Ongoing. “At some point, Clinton made the decision to leave the State Department for the night and continue working from her home because, ‘I knew the days ahead were going to be taxing on us all, with the entire Department looking to me to lead them through this shocking tragedy while keeping everyone focused on what lay ahead.”’ (Robert Farley, “Trump On Clinton’s ‘3 A.M Call.” FactCheck.Org, 04/28/16)

Fact 20: Ambassador Stevens And His Staff In Libya Made Hundreds Of Requests For Increased Security And Were Denied

Trump: “Ambassador Stevens and his staff in Libya made hundreds and hundreds of requests for security. They were desperate. They needed help. Hillary Clinton’s State Department refused them all. She started the war that put them in Libya, denied him the security he asked for, then left him there to die.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

The State Department Was “Hesitant To Allocate Money” On Security Upgrades On The Benghazi Facility, “A Post That May Be Closing In A Few Months.” “The RSO should be aware that the requests
The State Department Denied Requests For More Security In Benghazi In The Months Leading Up To The September 2012 Attacks.

Ambassador Christopher Stevens and three other Americans were killed in the Sept. 11, 2012, attacks after having made requests for more security to the State Department. State has acknowledged that security was not adequate but has defended itself by saying that it never received intelligence indicating an attack of the ferocity of the terrorist assault. Numerous attackers were involved in the assault, which lasted several hours. (Oren Dorell, "Democrats Join GOP To Blame State In Benghazi," USA Today, 1/15/14)

According To Deputy Chief Of Mission Greg Hicks, Ambassador Stevens' Requests For Additional Security For Benghazi "Were Denied Or Ignored." "To sum up: Chris Stevens was not responsible for the reduction in security personnel. His requests for additional security were denied or ignored. Officials at the State and Defense Departments in Washington made the decisions that resulted in reduced security. (Gregory N. Hicks, Op-Ed, "Gregory Hicks: Benghazi And The Smearing Of Chris Stevens," The Wall Street Journal, 1/22/14)

581 Documents Were Found That Dealt With The Security Situation At Benghazi, With The Number Likely Being Over 600 If Classified Documents Are Taken Into Account. "To demonstrate that the 600 figure was based on a count of actual documents, the GOP staff permitted The Fact Checker to view a spread sheet showing the date each document was created, the subject line and 'Bates number' — the official State Department coding of the document. We were not permitted to view the sender and receiver. We also could not publicly disclose the subject headings or the Bates numbers. The number of documents added up to 581, and staff members said classified documents would bring it over 600. A number of documents had the same subject heading; we counted, in one case, 17 with the exact same subject heading. This indicated that concerns were being discussed, as opposed to new requests." (Glenn Kessler, "600 'Requests' From Benghazi For Better Security: What This Statistic Really Means," The Washington Post's Fact Checker, 1/26/16)

The Number Was Determined By Counting Requests And Concerns. "But the committee is counting 'requests and concerns.' At least some of the requests were actually fulfilled — and the counting of 'concerns' may be subject to dispute." (Glenn Kessler, "600 'Requests' From Benghazi For Better Security: What This Statistic Really Means," The Washington Post's Fact Checker, 1/26/16)

Trump: "Ambassador Stevens and his staff in Libya made hundreds and hundreds of requests for security. They were desperate. They needed help. Hillary Clinton's State Department refused them all. She started the war that put them in Libya, denied him the security he asked for, then left him there to die." (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

The State Department Was "Hesitant To Allocate Money" On Security Upgrades On The Benghazi Facility, "A Post That May Be Closing In A Few Months." "The RSO should be aware that the requests for expensive security upgrades may be difficult to obtain as headquarters is hesitant to allocate money to a post that may be closing in a few months." ("Review Of The Terrorist Attacks On U.S. Facilities In Benghazi, Libya, September 11-12, 2012 Together With Additional Views," Senate Select Committee On Intelligence, 1/15/14, p. 17)

The State Department Denied Requests For More Security In Benghazi In The Months Leading Up To The September 2012 Attacks. "Ambassador Christopher Stevens and three other Americans were killed in the Sept. 11, 2012, attacks after having made requests for more security to the State Department. State has acknowledged that security was not adequate but has defended itself by saying that it never received intelligence indicating an attack of the ferocity of the terrorist assault. Numerous attackers were involved in the assault, which lasted several hours." (Oren Dorell, "Democrats Join GOP To Blame State In Benghazi," USA Today, 1/15/14)

According To Deputy Chief Of Mission Greg Hicks, Ambassador Stevens' Requests For Additional Security For Benghazi "Were Denied Or Ignored." "To sum up: Chris Stevens was
not responsible for the reduction in security personnel. His requests for additional security were
denied or ignored. Officials at the State and Defense Departments in Washington made the
decisions that resulted in reduced security. (Gregory N. Hicks, Op–Ed, “Gregory Hicks: Benghazi And The Smearing Of Chris
Stevens,” The Wall Street Journal, 1/22/14)

581 Documents Were Found That Dealt With The Security Situation At Benghazi, With The Number Likely Being Over 600 If Classified Documents Are Taken Into Account. “To demonstrate that the 600 figure was based on a count of actual documents, the GOP staff permitted The Fact Checker to view a spread sheet showing the date each document was created, the subject line and ‘Bates number’ — the official State Department coding of the document. We were not permitted to view the sender and receiver. We also could not publicly disclose the subject headings or the Bates numbers. The number of documents added up to 581, and staff members said classified documents would bring it over 600. A number of documents had the same subject heading; we counted, in one case, 17 with the exact same subject heading. This indicated that concerns were being discussed, as opposed to new requests.” (Glenn Kessler, “600 ‘Requests’ From Benghazi For Better Security: What This Statistic Really Means,” The Washington Post’s Fact Checker, 1/26/16)

- The Number Was Determined By Counting Requests And Concerns. “But the committee is counting ‘requests and concerns.’ At least some of the requests were actually fulfilled — and the counting of ‘concerns’ may be subject to dispute.” (Glenn Kessler, “600 ‘Requests’ From Benghazi For Better Security: What This Statistic Really Means,” The Washington Post’s Fact Checker, 1/26/16)

Fact 21: Clinton Lied About A Video Causing The Deaths Of Americans In Benghazi

Trump: “To cover her tracks, Hillary lied about the video being the cause of death, the famous video, all a lie, another Hillary lie.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

On The Night Of The Attack, Clinton Made A Statement About The Attack, Directly Linking The Benghazi Attackers’ Justification To The YouTube Videos, Saying “Some Have Sought To Justify This Vicious Behavior ... As A Response To Inflammatory Material Posted On The Internet.” CLINTON: “There will be more time later to reflect, but today, we have work to do. There is no higher priority than protecting our men and women wherever they serve. We are working to determine the precise motivations and methods of those who carried out this assault. Some have sought to justify this vicious behavior, along with the protest that took place at our Embassy in Cairo yesterday, as a response to inflammatory material posted on the internet.” (Secretary Hillary Clinton, Remarks On The Deaths Of American Personnel in Benghazi, Libya, Washington, DC, 9/12/12)

On The Night Of The Benghazi Attack, Hillary Clinton Emailed Chelsea Clinton That State Department Officials “Were Killed In Benghazi By An Al Qaeda-Like Group.” “On the night of the Benghazi attacks, Clinton emailed her daughter Chelsea, writing ‘Two of our officers were killed in Benghazi by an Al Qaeda-like group: The Ambassador, whom I handpicked and a young communications officer on temporary duty w a wife and two young children. Very hard day and I fear more of the same tomorrow.’” (Carrie Dann, “Clinton’s Emails Give Glimpse Into A Candidate Often Under Pressure,” NBCNews, 1/15/16)

On September 12, 2012, Clinton Told The Egyptian Prime Minister That The Administration Knew The Benghazi Attack “Had Nothing To Do With The Film” And That It “Was A Planned Attack-Not A Protest.” (Lawrence Randolph, Email To S_CallNotes, State Department Release, 9/12/12)
**Fact 22: In Four Years Clinton Managed To Single Handedly Destabilize The Middle East And Hand Over Libya To ISIS**

TRUMP: “In 2009, before Hillary Clinton was sworn in, it was a different world. Libya was cooperating, Iraq was seeing a reduction in violence, believe it or not. Syria was under control, Iran was being choked by sanctions. Egypt was governed by a friendly regime that honored its peace treaty with Israel. Something very nice because by the way, Israel has been totally mistreated by the United States. ISIS wasn’t even on the map. Fast forward to 2013: In just four years, Secretary Clinton managed to almost single-handedly destabilize the entire Middle East. Her invasion of Libya handed the country over to the ISIS barbarians.” (Donald Trump, *Speech On Hillary Clinton*, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

**As She Planned For The Intervention, Clinton Failed To Resolve “Just How Libya Would Be Governed After Gaddafi.”** “In 2011, as the United States considered intervention, then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton was among those who pushed for intervention —without resolving just how Libya would be governed after Gaddafi, according to a senior defense official who was part of the decision-making process.” (Nancy A. Youssef, “Hillary’s Libya Post-War Plan Was ‘Play It By Ear,’ Gates Says,” *The Daily Beast*, 10/20/15)

**ISIS Has Doubled Its Presence To 6,000 Fighters In The Last Year According To The Pentagon.** “The Islamic State in Iraq and Syria (ISIS) has doubled its presence inside Libya in the last year, the top U.S. military commander overseeing operations in the region said Thursday. The number of ISIS fighters in Libya doubled to between 4,000 to 6,000 in the last 12 to 18 months, Army Gen. David M. Rodriguez said at a Pentagon briefing.” (Kristina Wong, “Top General: ISIS Doubled Number Of Fighters In Libya,” *The Hill*, 4/7/16)

**“In Egypt, Hillary Clinton offers support for Islamist president.”** (Jeffrey Fleishman, “In Egypt, Hillary Clinton offers support for Islamist president,” *LA Times*, 7/15/12)

Clinton “Has A Lot To Answer For” As She Seeks To Deal With “What Has Been Characterized As The Most Anti-Israel Administration Ever To Occupy The White House.” “As the nation’s lead diplomat under what has been characterized as the most anti-Israel administration ever to occupy the White House, Clinton has a lot to answer for. She can’t pretend to be a friend when her record suggests otherwise, going back as far as the eight years her husband spent in the White House.” (Peter Roff, “Hillary: Israel’s FakeFriend,” *U.S. News & World Report*, 3/21/16)

Giuliani: “Hillary Clinton Could Be Considered A Founding Member Of ISIS.” “She had her chance to do it. She helped create ISIS. I mean, Hillary Clinton could be considered a founding member of ISIS,’ Giuliani insisted.” (Hanna Trudo, “Giuliani: Hillary Clinton Helped Found The Islamic State,” *Politico*, 3/23/16)

**Fact 23: Iran Is Now The Dominant Islamic Power In The Middle East And Is On The Road To A Nuclear Weapon, Thanks To Clinton**
**Trump:** “Thanks to Hillary Clinton, Iran is now the dominant Islamic power in the Middle East, and on the road to nuclear weapons.” (Donald Trump, *Speech On Hillary Clinton*, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

**Clinton:** “I’m Very Proud Of The Iran Nuclear Agreement. I Was Very Pleased To Be Part Of What The President Put Into Action When He Took Office.” CLINTON: “Well, I’m very proud of the Iran Nuclear Agreement. I was very pleased to be part of what the president put into action when he took office. I was responsible for getting those sanctions imposed which put the pressure on Iran. It brought them to the negotiating table which resulted in this agreement.” (Hillary Clinton, *Remarks AtDemocrat Primary Debate*, Charleston, SC, 1/17/16)


“**Iran’s Emergence As A Threshold Nuclear Power, With The Ability To Produce A Weapon Quickly, Will Not Be Prevented; It Will Be Postponed, By 10 To 15 Years.**” “If it is reached in the coming days, a nuclear deal with Iran will be, at best, an unsatisfying and risky compromise. Iran’s emergence as a threshold nuclear power, with the ability to produce a weapon quickly, will not be prevented; it will be postponed, by 10 to 15 years. In exchange, Tehran will reap hundreds of billions of dollars in sanctions relief it can use to revive its economy and fund the wars it is waging around the Middle East.” (Editorial, “The U.S. Response To Iran’s Cheating Is A Worrying Omen,” *The Washington Post*, 7/6/15)

**Fact 24: Hillary Has Supported Regime Change In Syria, Leading To One Of The Bloodiest Civil Wars And Giving ISIS A Launching Pad To The West**

TRUMP: “Hillary Clinton’s support for violent regime change in Syria has thrown the country into one of the bloodiest civil wars anyone has ever seen – while giving ISIS a launching pad for terrorism against the West.” (Donald Trump, *Speech On Hillary Clinton*, New York, NY, 6/22/16)

**In 2011, Clinton Echoed Obama, Demanding Regime Change In Syria Which Helped Hand Over Huge Portions Of Syria To ISIS.**” CLINTON: “This morning President Obama called on Assad to step aside and announced the strongest set of sanctions to date targeting the Syrian government. These sanctions include the energy sector, to increase pressure on the regime. The transition to democracy in Syria has begun, and it’s time for Assad to get out of the way. As President Obama said this morning, no outside power can or should impose on this transition. It is up to the Syrian people to choose their own leaders in a democratic system based on the rule of law and dedicated to protecting the rights of all citizens, regardless of ethnicity, religion, sect or gender.” (Secretary Hillary Clinton, Remarks AtThe State Department Treaty Room, Washington, DC, 8/18/11)

**The Syrian Civil War Has Led To A Death Toll Of More Than 250,000.** “Even before Madaya, sieges were common in the Syrian war, which has led to more than 250,000 deaths, displaced millions and generated a humanitarian catastrophe. But the tactic appears to be increasingly applied as President Bashar al-Assad’s forces, backed by Russian airstrikes, wage a new offensive against rebel groups.” (Hugh Naylor, “Death By Siege In Syria’s Civil War: Hundreds Of Thousands At Risk,” *The Washington Post*, 1/23/16)

- **The Washington Post** Editorial: Syria “Has Suffered Through Some Of The Worst War Crimes, Humanitarian Depredations And Refugee Flows In Recent History.” “An extraordinary new crisis is beginning to unfold in Syria, a country that already has suffered through some of the worst war crimes, humanitarian depredations and refugee flows in recent history. Russia, Iran and the Syrian government are conducting a major offensive aimed at recapturing the city of Aleppo and the rebel-held territory that connects it to the border with
Turkey. They have cut one supply route to the city and are close to severing another, trapping rebel forces along with hundreds of thousands of civilians. Tens of thousands have fled to the border, where Turkey is denying them entry.” (Editorial, “Mr. Obama Stands By Silently As Russia Continues Its Onslaught In Syria,” The Washington Post, 2/9/16)

"A 2012 Report By The United States Defense Intelligence Agency Was Direct: The Growing Chaos In Syria’s Civil War Was Giving Islamic Militants There And In Iraq The Space To Spread And Flourish." "And there were, in fact, more than hints of the group’s plans and potential. A 2012 report by the United States Defense Intelligence Agency was direct: The growing chaos in Syria’s civil war was giving Islamic militants there and in Iraq the space to spread and flourish. The group, it said, could 'declare an Islamic state through its union with other terrorist organizations in Iraq and Syria.' 'This particular report, this was one of those nobody wanted to see,' said Lt. Gen. Michael T. Flynn, who ran the defense agency at the time. 'It was disregarded by the White House,' he said. 'It was disregarded by other elements in the intelligence community as a one-off report. Frankly, at the White House, it didn’t meet the narrative.'” (Ian Fisher, "In Rise Of ISIS, No Single Missed Key But Many Strands Of Blame," The New York Times, 11/18/15)

"In Egypt, Hillary Clinton offers support for Islamist president.” (Jeffrey Fleishman, "In Egypt, Hillary Clinton offers support for Islamist president," LA Times, 7/15/12)

**Fact 25: Clinton Helped Force Out A Friendly Regime In Egypt**

TRUMP: “She helped force out a friendly regime in Egypt and replace it with the radical Muslim Brotherhood. The Egyptian military has retaken control, but Clinton has opened the Pandora’s box of radical Islam.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

**Clinton, 2014: Some Of Obama’s Aides Were “Swept Up In The Drama And Idealism” Of The Uprisings In Egypt And I Shared That Feeling.”** “These were debates we would have throughout the so-called Arab Spring. Like many other young people around the world, some of President Obama’s aides in the White House were swept up in the drama and idealism of the moment as they watched the pictures from Tahrir Square on television. They identified with the democratic yearnings and technological savvy of the young Egyptian protesters. Indeed Americans of all ages and political stripe were moved by the sight of people so long repressed finally demanding their universal human rights, and repulsed by the excessive force the authorities used in response. I shared that feeling.” (Hillary Clinton, Hard Choices, 2014)

- **Clinton On The Egypt Uprisings: “It Was A Thrilling Moment.”** (Hillary Clinton, Hard Choices, 2014)

**Clinton “Embraced the Transformation of Egypt”.** “Mrs. Clinton, like President Obama, hesitated at first when President Hosni Mubarak’s rule was threatened by the protests in Tahrir Square, but she now fully embraces the transformation in Egypt. Mrs. Clinton used her two-day visit here to praise the changes, while avoiding taking sides in the internal debate over the pace of constitutional amendments and elections.” (Steven Lee Myers, "Clinton, In Cairo’s Tahrir Square, Embraces A Revolt She Once Discouraged," The New York Times, 3/16/11)

**Fact 26: Clinton’s Announced-Timetable for Withdrawal from Iraq Allowed ISIS to Rush In and Fill The Void**

Trump Criticized Clinton For Supporting The Invasion In The First Place, Followed By Announcing A Departure Date From Iraq Was A “Disastrous Strategy” That Handed Large Parts Of The Country To ISIS. TRUMP: “Then, there was the disastrous strategy of announcing our departure date from Iraq, handing large parts of the country over to ISIS killers. ISIS threatens us today because of the decisions Hillary Clinton has made.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

In 2011, Clinton “Sought To Downplay” The Obama Administration’s Failure To Reach A Status Of Forces Agreement With The Iraqi Government. “And she sought to play down the failure of negotiations between the Obama administration and Iraqi Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki to keep several thousand U.S. troops in Iraq beyond 2011 for special operations and training.” (N.C. Aizenman, “Clinton Warns Iran Not To ‘Miscalculate’ U.S. Resolve As Troops Leave Iraq,” The Washington Post, 10/23/11)
Clinton, 2011: “Obama Has Said From The Beginning That Combat Troops Would Leave By The End Of This Year. That Should Not Surprise Anyone.” CLINTON: “You know, David, I think that Iraq is a very new democracy, of course, but it has made tremendous strides in taking care of its own security. And let’s put this into some context here. President Obama has said from the beginning that combat troops would leave by the end of this year. That should not surprise anyone. But it’s equally important to remember that this deadline was set by the Bush administration. So it’s been a bipartisan commitment, but it was on President Obama’s watch to show the leadership to be able to fulfill the commitment. So we are now going to have a security relationship with Iraq for training and support of their military, similar to what we have around the world from Jordan to Colombia. We will have military trainers and support personnel on the ground at Embassy Baghdad. We will be training Iraqis on using the military equipment that they are buying from the United States, and we think that this is the kind of mature relationship that is very common. So I believe that we are looking to fulfill what it is that the Iraqis requested and that we’re prepared to provide.” (NBC’s “Meet The Press,” 10/23/11)

“As The United States Prepares To Withdraw Its Troops From Iraq By Year’s End, Senior American And Iraqi Officials Are Expressing Concern That Al Qaeda’s Offshoot Here … Is Poised For A Deadly Resurgence.” “As the United States prepares to withdraw its troops from Iraq by year’s end, senior American and Iraqi officials are expressing growing concern that Al Qaeda’s offshoot here, which just a few years ago waged a debilitating insurgency that plunged the country into a civil war, is poised for a deadly resurgence.” (Michael Schmidt and Eric Schmitt, “Leaving Iraq, U.S. Fears New Surge Of Qaeda Terror,” The New York Times, 11/5/11)

Al Qaeda In Iraq Shifted “Its Tactics And Strategies … To Exploit Gaps Left By The Departing American Troops And To Try To Reignite Sectarian Violence In The Country.” “Although the organization is certainly weaker than it was at its peak five years ago and is unlikely to regain its prior strength, American and Iraqi analysts said the Qaeda franchise is shifting its tactics and strategies – like attacking Iraqi security forces in small squads – to exploit gaps left by the departing American troops and to try to reignite sectarian violence in the country.” (Michael Schmidt and Eric Schmitt, “Leaving Iraq, U.S Fears New Surge Of Qaeda Terror,” The New York Times, 11/5/11)

Fact 27: Clinton Learned Nothing From Iraq

Trump: “But Hillary Clinton Learned Nothing From Iraq, Because When She Got Into Power, She Couldn’t Wait To Rush Us Off To War In Libya.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

French Ambassador To The U.S.: “It [Libya] Was The Same Mistake You Made In Iraq.” “In a sense it was lost from the beginning,’ said Gérard Araud, France’s ambassador to the United States and an early advocate of the intervention. ‘It was the same mistake you made in Iraq. You organize elections in a country with no experience of compromise or political parties. So you have an election, and you think that everything is solved. But eventually tribal realities come back to haunt the country.’” (Jo Becker and Scott Shane, “A New Libya, With ‘Very Little Time Left’,” The New York Times, 2/27/16)

Fact 28: Clinton Refuses To Acknowledge The Threat Posed By Radical Islam

Trump: “Perhaps The Most Terrifying Thing About Hillary Clinton’s Foreign Policy Is That She Refuses To Acknowledge The Threat Posed By Radical Islam.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

Clinton Refuses To Categorize Terror As “Radical Islam.” ABC’S GEORGE STEPHANOPOULOS: “You’ve also been reluctant to say we’re fighting radical Islam. I wonder why not. Isn’t it a mistake to not say it plain that the violence is being pushed by radical elements in that faith?” CLINTON: “Well, that’s a different thing. Radical elements who use a dangerous and distorted view of Islam to promote their jihadist ambitions, I’m fine with that. I say it all the time. And I go after Islamists, too.” STEPHANOPOULOS: “So what’s the problem with radical Islam?” CLINTON: “Well, the problem is that
that sounds like we are declaring a war against religion. And that, to me, is number one, wrong.” (ABC’s “This Week” 12/6/15)

**Fact 29: Clinton Supports A Radical 550% Increase In Syrian Refugees**

Trump Said That “Clinton Supports A Radical 550% Increase In Syrian Refugees Coming Into The United Sates” Over Obama’s Already High Number, With No Way To Screen Them. TRUMP: “In fact, Hillary Clinton supports a radical 550% increase in Syrian refugees coming into the United States, and that’s an increase over President Obama’s already very high number. Under her plan, we would admit hundreds of thousands of refugees from the most dangerous countries on Earth — with no way to screen who they are or what they believe. Already, hundreds of recent immigrants and their children have been convicted of terrorist activity inside the U.S.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

When Asked About Obama’s Plan To Increase The Number Of Syrian Refugees Admitted To The U.S. To 10,000, Clinton Said She Thought We Needed To Go Even Further And Accept 65,000 Refugees. “During a Sept. 20 appearance on CBS’ Face the Nation, Clinton was asked if President Barack Obama’s plan to increase the number allowed into the United States to 10,000 was enough. (The United States had accepted about 2,000 in 2015.) ‘Look, we’re facing the worst refugee crisis since the end of World War II, and I think the United States has to do more, and I would like to see us move from what is a good start with 10,000 to 65,000 and begin immediately to put into place the mechanisms for vetting the people that we would take in,’ Clinton said.” (C. Eugene Emery Jr. “Donald Trump Says Hillary Clinton Wants To Let 500 Percent More Syrians Into The U.S.”, Politifact, 6/13/16)

- **This Number Represents A 550 Percent Increase.** “Trump said Clinton ‘plans to massively increase admissions (of Middle East refugees) ... including a 500 percent increase in Syrian refugees coming into our country.’ Clinton has, in fact, said that in response to the refugee crisis she would raise Obama’s limit of 10,000 to 65,000. That’s 550 percent more, a bit higher than what Trump said.” (C. Eugene Emery Jr. “Donald Trump Says Hillary Clinton Wants To Let 500 Percent More Syrians Into The U.S.”, Politifact, 6/13/16)

**Fact 30: Hundreds Of Immigrants And Their Children Have Been Recently Charged With Terrorism**

Trump: “Hundreds Of Recent Immigrants And Their Children Have Been Convicted Of Terrorist Activity Inside The U.S. The Father Of The Orlando Shooter Was A Taliban Supporter From Afghanistan, One Of The Most Repressive Anti-Gay And Anti-Women Regimes On Earth.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

“Newly Obtained Congressional Data Shows Hundreds Of Terror Plots Have Been Stopped In The U.S. Since 9/11 – Mostly Involving Foreign-Born Suspects, Including Dozens Of Refugees.” “At least 380 were foreign-born.” (Judson Berger, “Anatomy of the terror threat: Files show hundreds of US plots, refugee connection,” FoxNews, 06/22/16)

Orlando Shooter’s Father Hosted A Show About Afghans In America That Was Sympathetic To The Taliban. “Seddique Mateen hosts a program on a California-based satellite Afghan TV station, aimed at the Afghan diaspora in the in the U.S., called the ‘Durand Jirga Show.’ -- The Taliban Islamic extremist movement is comprised almost entirely of Pashtuns, and Mateen’s show takes a decidedly Pashtun nationalistic, pro-Taliban slant.” (Tucker Reals, “What Has The Orlando Gunman's Father Said?,” CBS News, 06/13/16)

**Fact 31: Clinton Policy Is Motivated By 1,000 Foreign Donations That Weren’t Disclosed With The State Department**

Trump: “Maybe Her Motivation Lies Among The More Than 1,000 Foreign Donations Hillary Failed To Disclose While At The State Department.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

The Clinton Giustra Enterprise Partnership (CGEP) Was Established By Bill Clinton And Canadian Frank Giustra. “The Clinton Giustra Enterprise Partnership was established by President Bill Clinton and
Canadian philanthropist Frank Giustra, combining the best of non-profit and for-profit approaches to create new enterprises that capitalize market opportunities to generate social impact by addressing existing market gaps in developing country supply or distribution chains.” (‘About Us,” Clinton Giustra Enterprise Partnership, Accessed 2/11/16)

CGER Is A Canadian Charity Which Funnels Money Into The US Based Clinton Foundation In New York. “Canadian charity called the Clinton Giustra Enterprise Partnership—which is run by one of Bill Clinton’s close friends, Frank Giustra—has been moving significant sums of money into the Clinton Foundation’s flagship in New York.” (Ken Silverstein, “Shaky Foundations,” Harper’s Magazine, 11/18/15)

Because Of Canadian Non-Profit Law, There Is No Way To Know Exactly How Much Money CGEP Has Taken In Or From Whom CGEP Is Getting Money From. “There’s no way for the public to know precisely how much total money the CGEP has taken in over the years—or how much it has forwarded on to the Clinton Foundation—because, unlike in the United States, under Canadian non-profit law charities don’t need to report donors to tax authorities.” (Ken Silverstein, “Shaky Foundations,” Harper’s Magazine, 11/18/15)

- In 2015, Under Pressure From The Press, CGEP Released The Names Of 24 Donors, But More Than 1,000 Are Still Unknown. “Earlier this year, after being severely criticized by the Canadian press, the CGEP released the names of twenty-four of its donors, but more than 1,000 are still unknown. (CGEP wrote in an email that ‘going forward [it] will publicly disclose all future donors.’)” (Ken Silverstein, “Shaky Foundations,” Harper’s Magazine, 11/18/15)

According To Investigative Reporting From Harper’s Ken Silverstein, CGEP Has Taken Money From Corrupt Foreign Officials. “One money-laundering expert and former intelligence officer based in the Middle East—who had access to the foundation’s confidential banking information—told me that members of the royal family in Middle Eastern countries, including Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates, have donated money to the CGEP that has then been sluiced through to the Clinton Foundation. He told me that the CGEP has received money from corrupt officials in South Africa during the former regime of Jacob Zuma and from senior officials in Equatorial Guinea, one of the most brutal and crooked dictatorships in the world.” (Ken Silverstein, “Shaky Foundations,” Harper’s Magazine, 11/18/15)

- The CGEP Is A Way For Foreign Governments To Launder Money Into The United States To Buy Political Protection. “Equatorial Guinea doesn’t give to the Clinton Foundation in New York because it’s too embarrassing,” [a money-laundering expert and former intelligence officer] said. “They give the money anonymously in Canada and that buys them political protection in the United States. The Clinton Foundation is a professionally structured money-laundering operation.” (Ken Silverstein, “Shaky Foundations,” Harper’s Magazine, 11/18/15)

Fact 32: Bill Clinton Was Paid $750,000 From A Telecom Company Facing State Department Sanctions While Hillary Was Secretary Of State, And Was Subsequently Spared


- “In Late 2010 The SEC Sent A Letter To Ericsson About Sales To Countries That Were Considered State Sponsors Of Terrorism By Hillary’s State Department.” (Peter Schweitzer, Clinton Cash, 2015)

“The Regimes Included Sudan And Syria...And Iran...” “The regimes included Sudan and Syria, where Ericsson sold and maintained telephone-switching equipment, and Iran, where it was selling ‘commercial grade systems to public network operators for mobile communications.’” (Peter Schweitzer, Clinton Cash, 2015)

“According To US Diplomatic Cables, State Department Officials Were ‘Regularly And Increasingly’ Raising These Transactions Involving Ericsson With The Swedish Foreign Minister.” (Peter Schweitzer, Clinton Cash, 2015)
“In April 2011 Ericsson Was Named In A State Department Report For Supplying Telecom Equipment For The Oppressive Regime In Belarus.” (Peter Schweitzer, Clinton Cash, 2015)

“On Capitol Hill Pressure Was Mounting And A Bill Would Later Be Introduced In December 2011 In The House Of Representatives To ‘Stop The Sale Of Surveillance Technologies To Repressive Regimes.’” “Separately, on Capitol Hill pressure was mounting and a bill would later be introduced in December 2011 in the House of Representatives to “stop the sale of surveillance technologies to repressive regimes.” (Peter Schweitzer, Clinton Cash, 2015)


“Meanwhile, Ericsson Decided To Sponsor A Speech By Bill Clinton And Paid Him More Than He Had Ever Been Paid For A Single Speech: $ 750,000.” (Peter Schweitzer, Clinton Cash, 2015)

• “According To Clinton Financial Disclosures, In The Previous Ten Years Ericsson Had Never Sponsored A Clinton Speech.” (Peter Schweitzer, Clinton Cash, 2015)

Bill Clinton’s Highest Speaking Fees Paid Were Between The Years Of 2009 To 2013, While Hillary Clinton Was Secretary Of State. “During Hillary Clinton’s four years at the State Department, her husband was paid $47.7 million in speaking fees. As critics have noted, most of his highest paid speeches were given abroad between 2009 and 2013.” (Arit John, “Putting Bill Clinton’s Speaking Fees Into Perspective,” Bloomberg Politics, 4/20/15)

Fact 33: Clinton’s State Department Approved The Transfer of 20% Of America’s Uranium Holdings To Russia, While Investors Funneled $145 Million To The Clinton Foundation

Trump: “Hillary Clinton’s State Department approved the transfer of 20% of America’s Uranium holdings to Russia, while 9 investors in the deal funneled $145 million to the Clinton Foundation.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

In 2010 When The Russians Wanted To Up Their Stake In A Uranium Company Called Uranium One To 51 Percent, Giving Them A Controlling Stake, It Set Off “Alarm Bells” In America. “But it was the 2010 deal, giving the Russians a controlling 51 percent stake, that set off alarm bells.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

• This Was Considered A “Generous Offer To Shareholders...” “And within a year, the Russian government substantially upped the ante, with a generous offer to shareholders that would give it a 51 percent controlling stake.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

The Power To Sign Off On The Uranium Deal Rests With “The Committee On Foreign Investment In The United States.” “When a company controlled by the Chinese government sought a 51 percent stake in a tiny Nevada gold mining operation in 2009, it set off a secretive review process in Washington, where officials raised concerns primarily about the mine’s proximity to a military installation, but also about the potential for minerals at the site, including uranium, to come under Chinese control. The officials killed the deal. Such is the power of the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)

• Secretary Clinton Was On This Committee. “Such is the power of the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States. The committee comprises some of the most powerful members of the cabinet, including the attorney general, the secretaries of the Treasury, Defense, Homeland Security, Commerce and Energy, and the secretary of state.” (Jo Becker and Mike McIntire, “Cash Flowed To Clinton Foundation As Russians Pressed For Control Of Uranium Company,” The New York Times, 4/23/15)
“Following The Lucrative Merger, Many Of The Deal’s Largest Shareholders Wrote Multimillion-Dollar Checks To The Clinton Foundation And Its Project, The Clinton Giustra Sustainable Growth Initiative.” (Peter Schweizer, Clinton Cash, 2015)


**Fact 34: Hillary Clinton Appointed A Top Donor To A Sensitive National Security Board, Despite His Having No Credentials**


Rajiv Fernando Has Contributed Between $1,000,001 And $5,000,000 To The Clinton Foundation. (The Clinton Foundation, Accessed 6/10/16)

As Secretary Of State, Clinton Appointed Rajiv Fernando To The International Security Board. "Today, the International Security Advisory Board (ISAB) met with Under Secretary Bill Burns, Under Secretary Ellen Tauscher, Special Advisor Robert Einhorn, Assistant Secretary Rose Gottemoeller, Assistant Secretary Andrew Shapiro and Acting Assistant Secretary Vann Van Diepen. ... The meeting marked the first gathering of ISAB members appointed by Secretary Clinton. ... Mr. Rajiv “Raj” Fernando, CEO and founder of Chopper Trading;" (*Meeting Of The International Security Advisory Board,* U.S. State Department, 7/12/11)

Fernando Would Serve On The Board With "Nuclear Scientists, Former Cabinet Secretaries And Members Of Congress," Which Was An "Odd Fit" As He Didn’t Have Any International Security Credentials. "For one of President Obama’s top fundraisers, the appointment last year to an elite group of State Department security advisors appeared to be an odd fit. Rajiv Fernando, a Chicago securities trader, has never touted any international security credentials, yet he was appointed alongside an august collection of nuclear scientists, former cabinet secretaries and members of Congress to advise Secretary of State Hillary Clinton on crucial security matters.” (Brian Ross, Matthew Mosk, and Megan Chuchmach, "Obama, Clinton Fundraiser Appointed To National Security Panel," U.S. State Department, 7/12/11)

“Hillary Clinton’s State Department Aides Rushed A Top Secret Security Clearance For A Democratic Donor In Order To Place Him In A Government Position For Which He Was Not Qualified.” (Sarah Westwood, “Clinton Aides Rushed Top Secret Clearance For Foundation Donor,” The Washington Examiner, 6/16/16)

**Fact 35: Clinton Accepted Gifts From The Government Of Brunei As Secretary Of State As Brunei Pushed Sharia Law**

TRUMP: “Hillary Clinton accepted $58,000 in jewelry from the government of Brunei when she was Secretary of State – plus millions more for her foundation. The Sultan of Brunei has pushed oppressive Sharia law, including the punishment of death by stoning for being gay. The govern ment of Brunei also stands to be one of the biggest beneficiaries of Hillary’s Trans-Pacific Partnership, which she would absolutely approve if given the chance.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

As Of 2016, The Government Of Brunei Darussalam Has Donated Between $1,000,001 And $5,000,000 To The Clinton Foundation. (Clinton Foundation, Accessed 3/15/16)

Hillary Clinton Accepted $58,000 Worth Of Jewelry From Brunei When She Was Secretary Of State. "Clinton also accepted $58,000 worth of jewelry from Brunei while she was with the State Department.” (Brent Scher, “The Clintons And The Sultan Of Brunei Have A History,” The Washington Free Beacon, 5/22/15)

The Sultan Pushed Brunei “Toward Radical Sharia Law Over His Decades-Long Reign.” “The sultan, however, has also pushed the small country toward radical Sharia law over his decades-long reign.” (Brent Scher, “The Clintons And The Sultan Of Brunei Have A History,” The Washington Free Beacon, 5/22/15)
The Sultan Announced In 2014 That “Crimes Such As Homosexuality, Sodomy, Adultery, And The Discussion Of Faith By Non-Muslims Are Now Punishable By Amputation Of Limbs, Public Flogging Or Death By Stoning.” “The shift was accelerated on May 1, 2014, when he announced in a royal decree that ‘the enforcement of Sharia law phase one’ has begun and would be ‘followed by the other phases.’ Crimes such as homosexuality, sodomy, adultery, and the discussion of faith by non-Muslims are now punishable by amputation of limbs, public flogging, or death by stoning.” (Brent Scher, “The Clintons And The Sultan Of Brunei Have A History,” The Washington Free Beacon, 5/22/15)

On June 27, 2014, Human Rights And Labor Activists In 13 Cities Around The World, Including In Los Angeles, CA, Held An “International Day Of Action” Pressing The Sultan Of Brunei To Repeal Laws That Discriminated Against Gays And Women. “Human rights and labor activists in 13 cities on four continents will hold an ‘international day of action’ on June 27 to press for the Sultan of Brunei to repeal laws that discriminate against gays and women. The protests, which are being organized by LGBT groups and international labor unions, are planned in every country where the Sultan’s Dorchester Collection owns hotels: in London, at the Dorchester and 45 Park; in Geneva at Le Richemond; in Milan at the Hotel Principe de Savoia; in Rome at the Hotel Eden; in Paris at Le Meurice and in Beverly Hills at the Will Rogers Memorial park across the street from the Beverly Hills Hotel.” (Tina Daunt, “Activists Set Worldwide Day of Protest Against Sultan of Brunei,” The Hollywood Reporter, 6/24/14)

National Security Advisor Susan Rice Singled Out Brunei As One Of The World’s Worst Transgressors Against Gay Rights. “On Tuesday, President Barack Obama’s national security advisor singled out Brunei as one of the world’s worst transgressors against gay rights. ‘Unfortunately, in too many places, being gay or transgender is enough to make someone the target of slurs, torments, and violence,’ Susan Rice told a gathering of LGBT activists at the White House. “We all know the names of Harvey Milk, Eric Lembembe, David Kato, and too many other brave advocates who refused to hide or be silenced, and who have been ostracized or killed for their work. ‘In many places, allies and supporters of the LGBT community are also penalized,’ Rice continued. ‘New laws in Uganda and Nigeria incite the fear of arrest and detention for those who provide health services or defend basic legal rights in court. In addition to the pernicious so-called ‘propaganda/ law already on the books, proposed legislation in Russia would allow the government to take children away from their gay parents. There are almost 80 countries — eight-zero — countries in this world where discrimination against LGBT citizens is enshrined in law, and that number threatens to grow. In seven countries — eight, if Brunei continues on its path — same-sex acts are punishable by death.’” (Tina Daunt, “Activists Set Worldwide Day of Protest Against Sultan of Brunei,” The Hollywood Reporter, 6/24/14)

Fact 36: Hillary Took $25 Million From Saudi Arabia, Where Being LGBT Is Punishable By Death


As Of 2016, The Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia Has Donated Between $10,000,001 And $25,000,000 To The Clinton Foundation, Making A New Donation In 2014. (Clinton Foundation, Accessed 3/16/16)

As Of 2016, Friends Of Saudi Arabia Has Donated Between $1,000,001 And $5,000,000 To The Clinton Foundation. (ClintonFoundation, Accessed 3/15/16)

In Saudi Arabia, You Can Be Legally Stoned To Death For Being Gay. “Saudi Arabia: Under the country’s interpretation of sharia law, a married man engaging in sodomy or any non-Muslim who
commits sodomy with a Muslim can be stoned to death. All sex outside of marriage is illegal.” (Max Bearak and Darla Cameron, “Here Are The Ten Countries Where Homosexuality May Be Punished By Death,” The Washington Post, 6/16/16)

In 2011 National Elections In Saudi Arabia, “Women Were Not Candidates And Did Not Vote.” “In September 2011 the country held elections on a nonparty basis for half of the 1,632 seats on the 285 municipal councils around the country. Independent polling station observers identified no irregularities with the election; however, women were not candidates and did not vote. Security forces reported to civilian authorities.” (Country Reports On Human Rights Practices For 2012: Saudi Arabia, U.S. State Department, 4/19/13)

The State Department Also Report Violence Against Women And Discrimination Based On Gender, Religion And Race. “Violence against women, trafficking in persons, and discrimination based on gender, religion, sect, race, and ethnicity were common.” (“Country Reports On Human Rights Practices For 2012: Saudi Arabia,” U.S. State Department, 4/19/13)

- Clinton Has Been “Accused Of Brushing Aside Her Own Self-Declared Commitment To Human Rights…” “It wasn't the last time Hillary Clinton was accused of brushing aside her own self-declared commitment to women’s rights, ostensibly in the name of the national interest.” (Valerie Hudson and Patricia Leidl, “Has Hillary Really Helped The Worlds Women?” Politico, 3/17/16)

Fact 37: Hillary Took Millions From Kuwait, Qatar, Oman, And Other Countries That Abuse Women And The LGBT Community

Trump: “Hillary Took Millions From Kuwait, Qatar, Oman And Many Other Countries That Horribly Abuse Women And LGBT Citizens.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

KUWAIT

As Of 2016, The State Of Kuwait Has Donated Between $5,000,001 And $10,000,000 To The Clinton Foundation. (Clinton Foundation, Accessed 3/15/16)

According To The 2012 U.S. State Department Report On Human Rights Practices For Kuwait, Human Rights Problems In Kuwait Included Limitations Of Freedom Of Speech And Assembly, And Human Trafficking. “Principal human rights problems included limitations on citizens’ right to change their government; restrictions on freedom of speech and assembly, especially among foreign workers and stateless Arabs (called 'Bidoon'); trafficking in persons within the foreign worker population, especially in the domestic and unskilled service sectors; and limitations on workers’ rights.” (Country Reports On Human Rights Practices For 2012: Kuwait, U.S. State Department, 4/19/13)

Freedom Of The Press Was Restricted And Women “Faced Social And Legal Discrimination.” “Other human rights problems included reports of security force members abusing prisoners; restrictions on freedom of movement for certain groups, including foreign workers and Bidoon; and limitations on freedoms of press, association, and religion. Women and Bidoon faced social and legal discrimination.” (Country Reports On Human Rights Practices For 2012: Kuwait, U.S. State Department, 4/19/13)

QATAR

As Of 2016, The State Of Qatar Has Donated Between $1,000,001 And $5,000,000 To The Clinton Foundation. (Clinton Foundation, Accessed 3/15/16)


OMAN
As Of 2016, The Sultanate Of Oman Has Donated Between $1,000,001 And $5,000,000 To The Clinton Foundation, Making A New Donation In 2014.  (ClintonFoundation, Accessed 3/16/16)

According To The 2012 U.S. State Department Report On Human Rights Practices For Oman, Human Rights Violations Reported Included Political And Economic Discrimination Of Women, And Restriction Of Freedom Of Speech And Assembly. “The principal human rights problems were the inability of citizens to change their government, limits on freedom of speech and assembly, and discrimination against women, including political and economic exclusion based on cultural norms. Thirty-two individuals were convicted on charges of libel against the sultan during the year, receiving prison sentences from six to 18 months and fines of 500 to 1,000 Omani rials (approximately $1,300 to $2,600). Another 12 individuals were convicted on charges of illegal assembly (assembly without a permit) while peacefully protesting some of the libel convictions. The protesters each received a prison sentence of one year and a 1,000 rial fine (approximately $2,600).” (Country Reports On Human Rights Practices For 2012: Oman, U.S. State Department, 4/19/13)

**Fact 38: Clinton Illegally Used A Private Email Server While At The State Department**

**Trump: “To Cover-Up Her Corrupt Dealings, Hillary Clinton Illegally Stashed Her State Department Emails On A Private Server.”**

Press Secretary Josh Earnest Confirmed That The Justice Department Is Conducting A “Criminal Investigation” Regarding Hillary Clinton’s Personal Email. “That’s why the president, when discussing this issue in each stage, has reiterated his commitment to this principle that any criminal investigation should be conducted independent of any sort of political interference,’ Earnest said.”  (White House confirms ‘criminal’ probe over Clinton emails, ‘shreds’ campaign claim, Fox News, 6/10/16)

**Regulations Implemented In 2005 Prohibited The Use Of A Personal Server To Conduct Government Business On A Regular Basis.** “The Department’s current policy, implemented in 2005, is that normal day-to-day operations should be conducted on an authorized Automated Information System (AIS), which ‘has the proper level of security control to ... ensure confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the resident information.’ The FAM defines an AIS as an assembly of hardware, software, and firmware used to electronically input, process, store, and/or output data.”  (Office Of The Secretary: Evaluation Of Email Records Management And Cybersecurity Requirements, State Department Office Of Inspector General, 5/25/16)

**NBC News Headline:** “Clinton Broke Federal Rules With Email Server, Audit Finds”  (Ken Dilanian, “Clinton Broke Federal Rules With Email Server, Audit Finds,” NBCNews, 5/25/16)


**CNN Headline:** “State Department Report Slams Clinton Email Use”  (Ryan Browne and Evan Perez, “State Department Report Slams Clinton Email Use,” CNN, 5/25/16)

**Fact 39: Clinton’s Emails Were Easily Hacked By Foreign Governments**

**Trump: “Her Server Was Easily Hacked By Foreign Governments – Perhaps Even By Her Financial Backers In Communist China – Putting All Of America In Danger.”**  (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

**Clinton’s Secret Server Underwent Hacking Attempts From Foreign Computers.** “Clinton’s email server permitted ‘remote-access connections directly over the Internet,’ a practice banned by the State Department since 2012. This type of software ‘allows users to control another computer from afar,’ and although these ‘programs are usually operated through an encrypted connection ... Clinton’s system appeared to accept commands directly from the internet without such protections. Clinton’s private server has proved vulnerable to attacks and hacking attempts. Two such hacking ‘scans’ occurred in 2012 from a Serbian computer looking for accessible doors or ‘ports’ into the server.”  (Jack Gillum and Stehpen Braun, “Clinton Server’s Software Had Hacking Risk,” The Associated Press, 10/13/15)
**Fact 40: Clinton Deleted 33,000 Emails**

*Trump: “Then There Are The 33,000 Emails She Deleted.”* (Donald Trump, *Speech On Hillary Clinton*, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

**Clinton Deleted Over 30,000 Emails From Her Secret Server.** “‘We believe there are things that she wanted to hide and it wasn’t just a poor error of judgment that led to this situation,’ Tom Fitton, the head of the conservative legal watchdog pressing forward with the questions, Judicial Watch, told The Hill. ‘She didn’t want to be held accountable for her conduct in office.’ Fitton told The Hill that he expects the government will eventually review the approximately 30,000 emails that Clinton claimed to have deleted from her server because they were personal in nature.” (Julian Hattem, “Final Clinton Emails Coming Today,” *The Hill*, 2/29/16)

**Fact 41: Clinton’s Private Emails Could Be Used as a Blackmail File for Foreign Governments**

*Trump: “While we may not know what is in those deleted emails, our enemies probably do. So they probably now have a blackmail file over someone who wants to be President of the United States.”* (Donald Trump, *Speech On Hillary Clinton*, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

*Former CIA Deputy Director Michael Morell.* HUGH HEWITT: “What did you make of the Secretary of State having a private server in her house?” FORMER CIA DEPUTY DIRECTOR MICHAEL MORELL: “So I don’t think that was a very good judgment. I don’t know who gave her that advice, but it was not good advice, and you know, she’s paying a price for it now. Yeah, it was not good.” HEWITT: “As a professional matter, do you believe that at least one or perhaps many foreign intelligence servers, services have everything that went to and from that server?” MORELL: “So I think that foreign intelligence services, the good ones, the good ones, have everything on any unclassified network that the government uses, whether it’s a private server or a public one. They’re that good.” HEWITT: “So that’s a yes?” MORELL: “Yup” (Hugh Hewitt, “Former CIA Deputy Director Mike Morell on “The Great War Of Our Time”,” *Hugh Hewitt*, 5/16/15)

*Forbes’ Paul Roderick Gregory:* The “Holy Grail Of Russian Intelligence” Is Uncovering Material On Foreign Political Leaders And Using It As “Blackmail.” “Indeed, the Russians might benefit from knowing more about U.S. presidential politics, but the Holy Grail of Russian intelligence is uncovering compromising material that can be used to embarrass, manipulate or blackmail foreign political leaders. Where the Post fails is in connecting the dots of the DNC breach to the possible Russian hacking of Hillary Clinton’s private server.” (Paul Roderick Gregory, “What Russia’s DNC Hack Tells Us About Hillary Clinton’s Private Email Server,” *Forbes*, 6/15/16)

- **Forbes’ Paul Roderick Gregory:** The Russian Intelligence Community Knew About Clinton’s Secret Server Since March 2013. “We know that Russian intelligence knew about Clinton’s private email account since mid-March 2013 (or well before if a planted leak is to be believed). The private Clinton email account came to light with the publication by a Kremlin-funded news service of emails sent to Clinton by her trusted advisor Sidney Blumenthal. Thereafter, the mainstream media lost interest in the story but was revived when Clinton’s private emails became the subject of congressional investigations.” (Paul Roderick Gregory, “What Russia’s DNC Hack Tells Us About Hillary Clinton’s Private Email Server,” *Forbes*, 6/15/16)

**Fact 42: Clinton Will Pursue An Open Borders Policy**

*Trump: “Hillary Clinton has put forward the most radical immigration platform in the history of the United States. She has pledged to grant mass amnesty and in her first 100 days, end virtually all immigration enforcement, and thus create totally open borders in the United States.”* (Donald Trump, *Speech On Hillary Clinton*, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

**Clinton Will Expand Unconstitutional Executive Amnesty.** “A review of Clinton’s stated positions on the issue suggests she is perhaps the most extreme candidate on immigration ever to run for the office of the U.S. Presidency. Perhaps one of the most radical aspects Clinton’s open borders platform is that she is
openly campaigning on defending and even expanding President Obama's unconstitutional executive amnesties... Clinton has pledged to enact amnesty within her first 100 days in office... Clinton has said that, as President, that she will essentially freeze deportations.” (Julia Hahn, “Clinton Releases Plan to Dissolve U.S Border Within 100 Days,” Breitbart, 5/25/16)

**Clinton Promised To Propose Comprehensive Immigration Legislation Within The First 100 Days Of Her Presidency.** “Clinton also promised to propose legislation on comprehensive immigration reform within her first 100 days.” (Eliza Collins, “Clinton Urges Supreme Court To Uphold Obama’s Immigration Moves,” Politico, 4/18/16)

**Clinton’s Call For Comprehensive Immigration Reform Includes A Pathway To Citizenship.** “Enact comprehensive immigration reform to create a pathway to citizenship, keep families together, and enable millions of workers to come out of the shadows.” (Hillary For America, Accessed 6/22/16)

**Clinton Has Promised “To Stop Deportations Of Almost Everyone, Aside From Violent Criminals Or Terrorists.”** “Clinton also has been mildly critical of Obama’s deportation program, promising to stop deportations of almost everyone, aside from violent criminals or terrorists.” (Anne Gearan and Paul Kane, “Clinton’s Top Priorities: Gun Control And Immigration Reform. Could She Deliver On Either?” The Washington Post, 5/2/16)

**Clinton’s Policy Could Be Called “Open Borders, Except It’s Messier. It’s More Like A Free-For-All.”**

“‘I would not deport children,’ she said at a debate in March, after proposing the exact opposite in late 2014. ‘I do not want to deport family members, either.’ In short, under Clinton’s policy, if you manage to sneak across the border illegally and make it into a city, you won’t be removed. You could call that open borders, except it’s messier. It’s more like a free-for-all.” (T.A Frank, “The One Issue That Could Destroy Hillary Clinton,” Vanity Fair, 5/17/16)

**Fact 43: Minorities Will Be Hurt Most From Clinton’s Policies**

**Trump:** “The first victims of her radical policies will be poor African-American and Hispanic workers who need jobs. They are the ones she will hurt the most.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

**The cost of resettling each 10,000 refugees is $6.5 billion in terms of lifetime benefits, bringing the total cost of Hillary’s plan into the hundreds of billions.** (Julia Hahn, “Report: Obama’s Plan To Import 10,000 Refugees Would Cost Taxpayers $6.5 Billion,” Breitbart, 9/1/15)

**Immigration Lowers Wages For Competing American Workers By An Estimated $400B.** “Of the $1.6 trillion increase in GDP, 97.8 percent goes to the immigrants themselves in the form of wages and benefits; the remainder constitutes the “immigration surplus” — the benefit accruing to the native-born population, including both workers, owners of firms, and other users of the services provided by immigrants...The immigration surplus of $35 billion comes from reducing the wages of natives in competition with immigrants by an estimated $402 billion a year, while increasing profits or the incomes of users of immigrants by an estimated $437 billion.” (George Borjas, “Immigration And The American Worker” Center For Immigration Studies, 4/13)

**As Of May 2016, The Unemployment Rate For African Americans Is 8.2 Percent, Three And A Half Percent Higher Than The National Average.** (Bureau Of Labor Statistics, Accessed 6/22/16)


**The Median Income For African American Households (Black Alone) Has Declined By $2,228 Since 2008.** (U.S. Census Bureau, Accessed 5/18/16)

**In 2008, Median African American (Black Alone) Household Income Was $37,626, And In 2014 It Was $35,398.** (U.S Census Bureau, Accessed 5/18/16)

**As Of May 2016, The Hispanic Unemployment Rate Is Nearly A Point Above The National Average At 5.6 Percent.** (Bureau Of Labor Statistics, Accessed 6/8/16)

**In May, The Nationwide Unemployment Rate Was 4.7 Percent.** (Bureau Of Labor Statistics, Accessed 6/8/16)
Median Income For Hispanic Workers Has Decreased By $823 Under Obama, From $22,835 In 2008 To $22,012 In 2014. (U.S. Census Bureau, Accessed 5/18/16)

“Median Household Income For Hispanics Has Stagnated Since The Great Recession.” “At the same time, median household income for Hispanics has stagnated since the Great Recession—in 2014 it was $42,491, a level essentially unchanged since the Great Recession (income is also little changed among the U.S. public), according to the latest Census Bureau data.” (Mark Hugo Lopez, Rich Morin, Jens Manuel Krogstad, “Latinos Increasingly Confident in Personal Finances, See Better Economic Times Ahead,” Pew Research, 6/8/16)

Median Household Hispanic Income Was $41,689 In 2008, And Has Only Risen To $42,491 In 2014. (U.S. Census Bureau, Accessed 5/18/16)

**Fact 44: Sergeant Mendoza Brendam Died Because Of The Immigration PoliciesSupported By Hillary Clinton**

**Trump:** “She lost her amazing son, Police Sergeant Brandon Mendoza, after he was killed by an illegal immigrant because of the open borders policies supported by Hillary Clinton.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

**Police Sergeant Brandon Mendoza Was Killed In A Head-On Collision With A Wrong-Way Driver.** “The mother of Sgt. Brandon Mendoza, the Mesa police officer who was killed in a head-on collision with a wrong-way driver in May, has entered the political fray on the issue of illegal immigration.” (Megan Cassidy, “Phoenix Mom: U.S. Let Illegal Immigrant Stay, Kill Son,” The Arizona Republic, 7/10/14)

**The Driver Was A Criminal Illegal Immigrant Who Was Not Deported After He Was A Convicted.** “In an impassioned letter sent to President Barack Obama late Wednesday afternoon, Mary Ann Mendoza wrote of her anger that the driver, Raul Silva-Corona, was not deported two decades ago after he was convicted for crimes in Colorado...’The prosecutors were 'lenient' on him and several charges were dismissed,’ she wrote. ‘When he was convicted of these crimes in 1994 and the government knew he was in the country illegally, why wasn’t he deported? Why are any of these illegal criminals in this country?’” (Megan Cassidy, “Phoenix Mom: U.S. Let Illegal Immigrant Stay, Kill Son,” The Arizona Republic, 7/10/14)

**Fact 45: For The Amount Of Money Hillary Clinton Wants To Spend On Refugees, We Could Rebuild Every Inner-City In America**

**Trump:** “Hillary also wants to spend hundreds of billions to resettle Middle Eastern refugees in the United States, on top of the current record level of immigration. For the amount of money Hillary Clinton would like to spend on refugees, we could rebuild every inner city in America.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

The cost of resettling each 10,000 refugees is $6.5 billion in terms of lifetime benefits, bringing the total cost of Hillary's plan into the hundreds of billions. (Julia Hahn, “Report: Obama's Plan To Import 10,000 Refugees Would Cost Taxpayers $6.5 Billion,” Breitbart, 9/11/15)

Only 5% Of Likely Voters Backed Clinton's Plan To Admit More Than 100,000 Refugees From The Middle East. “According to a September 2015 Rasmussen survey, only 5% of likely voters said that they wanted the U.S. to admit more than 100,000 refugees from the Middle East. Women oppose Clinton’s plan to bring more than 100,000 refugees from the Middle East by a remarkable 21-to-1 margin, with 84 percent opposing and four percent supporting.” (Julia Hahn, “Clinton Releases Plan to Dissolve U.S.Border Within 100 Days,” Breitbart, 5/25/16)

**Fact 46: Clinton's Immigration Agenda Will Keep Them Poor, Unemployed Americans Out Of Work, And Hurt Minorities**

**Trump:** “Hillary’s Wall Street immigration agenda will keep immigrant communities poor, and unemployed Americans out of work. She can’t claim to care about African-American and Hispanic workers when she wants to bring in millions of new low-wage workers.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)
Immigration Lowers Wages For Competing American Workers By An Estimated $400B. “Of the $1.6 trillion increase in GDP, 97.8 percent goes to the immigrants themselves in the form of wages and benefits; the remainder constitutes the “immigration surplus” — the benefit accruing to the native-born population, including both workers, owners of firms, and other users of the services provided by immigrants...The immigration surplus of $35 billion comes from reducing the wages of natives in competition with immigrants by an estimated $402 billion a year, while increasing profits or the incomes of users of immigrants by an estimated $437 billion.” (George Borjas, “Immigration And The American Worker,” Center For Immigration Studies, 4/13)

Immigration Is Causing The Country's Population To Grow At A Faster Rate Than The Economy. “One way to think about what has been happening in the labor market is that employment or job growth has not come close to matching new immigration and natural population increase. As the figure shows, between 2000 and 2015 the number of working-age people (both immigrant and native) in the country increased by 25.9 million. But the number working increased by only 8.4 million. The difference of 17.5 million represents the increase in the last 15 years in the number of people ages 16 to 65 who are not working. (It should be noted the figures do not include those in institutions such as prisons and nursing homes.)” (Steven A. Camarota, “The Impact Of Large-Scale Immigration On American Workers,” Center For Immigration Studies, 3/16)

**Fact 47: Clinton Will Appoint Judges Who Will Abolish The 2nd Amendment**


Clinton Said An Australian Gun Buy Back Program Which Has Forced Millions Of Citizens To Give Up Their Lawful Firearms Was “Worth Considering” In The United States. “An audience member mentioned how Australia had managed to ‘take away tens of thousands, millions of handguns. And in one year, they were all gone.’ As Hillary nodded approvingly, the man asked, ‘Can we do that? If we can't, why can't we?’ ... But Clinton instead gave the wrong—and shockingly honest—answer to the question, praising the Australian paradigm. ‘[B]y offering to buy back those guns,’ she said, ‘they were able to curtail the supply and set a different standard for gun purchases in the future.’ She then said such a program would be ‘worth considering’ for this country: ‘I do not know enough detail to tell you how we would do it, or how it would work, but certainly your example is worth looking at.’” (Chris Cox, “Mrs. Clinton, This Is What Australian Gun Control Looks Like,” The Daily Caller, 12/29/15)

**Senator Jeff Sessions:** “She [Hillary] said again recently that the Heller decision that protects the right of individuals to keep and bear arms was wrong. Hillary Clinton is the most anti-Second Amendment president perhaps we’ve ever had. And what that means is, it’s no longer a personal right to have a gun, but every city, county, and state can completely ban firearms in America” (Ryan Lovelace, “Sessions warns of historic Clinton attack on gun rights,” Washington Examiner, 5/22/16)

**Fact 48: ObamaCare Is A Job-Killing Disaster**

Trump: “Repeal And Replace Job-Killing ObamaCare – It Is A Disaster.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

According To An Analysis From Goldman Sachs, “Obamacare Is Forcing Hundreds Of Thousands Of People Into Part-Time Work.” “In a research note sent out Wednesday, bank economist Alec Phillips concluded that ‘the evidence suggests that the [Affordable Care Act] has at least modestly elevated involuntary part-time employment.’ He wrote that a ‘few hundred thousand’ workers may have had their hours cut or been forced to take part-time jobs because of the law. Goldman Sachs’ analysis is the latest conduct on the contentious topic of the healthcare law and part-time work, and its findings largely back up what other studies have revealed, Phillips wrote. The bank weighed in on the question after involuntary part-time work rose sharply in recent months.” (Joseph Lawler, “Goldman Sachs: Obamacare forcing hundreds of thousands into part-time work,” The Washington Examiner, 06/08/16)
ObamaCare Contains $859.7 Billion In Job-Killing Taxes On Small Businesses, Investments And Innovation. ("Estimated Revenue Effects Of A Proposal To Repeal Certain Tax Provisions Contained In The 'Affordable Care Act'" Joint Committee On Taxation, 6/15/12; “Federal Subsidies For Health Insurance Coverage For People Under Age 65: 2016 To 2026,” Congressional Budget Office 3/24/16)

Over The Next 10 Years, ObamaCare Will Cause The Loss Of Over 2 Million Full Time Jobs Worth Of Hours. “The projected reduction in the labor supply would occur in several ways. Some people would choose to work fewer hours; others would leave the labor force entirely or remain unemployed for longer than they otherwise would. CBO did not split its estimate of the overall reduction into the reduction in the number of hours worked and the reduction in labor force participation, because in formulating its estimate, the agency generally relied on labor supply elasticities (which measure the change in the labor supply resulting from a change in tax rates) that combined those two decisions. CBO did, however, translate the reduction in the labor supply into an effect on full-time-equivalent employment. The labor force is projected to be about 2 million full-time-equivalent workers smaller in 2025 than it would have been otherwise.” ("How CBO Estimates The Effects Of The Affordable Care Act On The Labor Market," Congressional Budget Office Report, December 2015)

Fact 49: Real Wages Haven’t Increased For America Workers

Trump: “The Real Wages For Our Workers Have Not Been raised For 18 Years – But These Wages Will Start Going Up, Along With The New Jobs.” (Donald Trump, Speech On Hillary Clinton, New York, NY, 6/23/16)

According To A 2014 Pew Research Center Analysis, When Adjusting For Inflation, “Today’s Average Hourly Wage Has Just About The Same Purchasing Power As It Did In 1979. “According to the BLS, the average hourly wage for non-management private-sector workers last month was $20.67, unchanged from August and 2.3% above the average wage a year earlier. That’s not much, especially when compared with the pre-Great Recession years of 2006 and 2007, when the average hourly wage often increased by around 4% year-over-year. (During the high-inflation years of the 1970s and early 1980s, average wages commonly jumped 8%, 9% or even more year-over-year.) But after adjusting for inflation, today’s average hourly wage has just about the same purchasing power as it did in 1979, following a long slide in the 1980s and early 1990s and bumpy, inconsistent growth since then. In fact, in real terms the average wage peaked more than 40 years ago: The $4.03-an-hour rate recorded in January 1973 has the same purchasing power as $22.41 would today.” (Drew DeSilver, “For Most Workers, Real Wages Have Barely Budged For Decades,” Pew Research Center, 10/19/14)

Fact 50: Clinton’s Regime of Taxation, Regulation, And Open Borders, Will Destroy Jobs And Drive Down Wages


Clinton’s Tax Hikes “Would Hurt The Entire Economy, Dragging Down Income At All Levels.” “Though Clinton’s proposals target the wealthy, the Tax Foundation said today that the increases would hurt the entire economy, dragging down incomes at all levels.” (Brian Faler, “Analysis: Clinton Proposed Almost $500 Billion In Tax Increases,” Politico, 1/26/16)

A Tax Foundation’s Analysis Found Clinton’s Tax Proposals Would “Reduce The Economy’s Size By 1 Percent.” “According to the Tax Foundation’s Taxes and Growth Model, Hillary Clinton’s tax plan would reduce the economy’s size by 1 percent in the long run.” (Kyle Pomerleau and Michael Schuyler, “Details And Analysis Of Hillary Clinton’s Tax Proposals,” Tax Foundation, 1/26/16)

- Clinton’s Tax Proposals Would Result In “311,000 Fewer Full-Time Equivalent Jobs.” “The plan would lead to 0.8 percent lower wages, a 2.8 percent smaller capital stock, and 311,000 fewer full-time equivalent jobs. The smaller economy results from somewhat higher marginal tax rates on capital and labor income.” (Kyle Pomerleau and Michael Schuyler, “Details And Analysis Of Hillary Clinton’s Tax Proposals,” Tax Foundation, 1/26/16)
Clinton’s Tax Proposals Would “Lead To 0.8 Percent Lower Wages.” “The plan would lead to 0.8 percent lower wages, a 2.8 percent smaller capital stock, and 311,000 fewer full-time equivalent jobs. The smaller economy results from somewhat higher marginal tax rates on capital and labor income.” (Kyle Pomerleau and Michael Schuyler, “Details And Analysis Of Hillary Clinton’s Tax Proposals,” Tax Foundation, 1/26/16)

Illegal Immigration Lowers Wages For Competing American Workers By An Estimated $99 To $118 Billion A Year. “Economic theory predicts that immigration will redistribute income by lowering the wages of competing American workers and increasing the wages of complementary American workers as well as profits for business owners and other “users” of immigrant labor... Although the net benefits to natives from illegal immigrants are small, there is a sizable redistribution effect. Illegal immigration reduces the wage of native workers by an estimated $99 to $118 billion a year, and generates a gain for businesses and other users of immigrants of $107 to $128 billion.” (George Borjas, “Immigration And The American Worker,” Center For Immigration Studies, 4/13)